arXiv:1502.01987v2 [math.AT] 25 Feb 2015

THE CHARACTER OF THE TOTAL POWER OPERATION

TOBIAS BARTHEL AND NATHANIEL STAPLETON

Abstract. In this paper we compute the total power operation for the Morava E-theory of any finite group, up to torsion. Our formula is stated in terms of an action of isogenies on the Drinfeld ring of full level structures on the formal group associated to E-theory. It can be specialized to give explicit descriptions of many classical operations. Moreover, we show that the character map of Hopkins, Kuhn, and Ravenel from E-theory to its rationalization is a natural transformation of global power functors on finite groups.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Notation and conventions 5
3. Conjugacy classes in wreath products 6
4. The action of isogenies on C_0 11
5. Multiplicative natural transformations on class functions 14
6. A total power operation on class functions 17
7. Recollections on Morava E-theory 22
8. The additive total power operation applied to abelian groups 24
9. The character of the total power operation 28
10. The rationalized total power operation 33
References 38

1. Introduction

Power operations and their variants are ubiquitous throughout homotopy theory. The Steenrod operations on mod p cohomology and the Adams operations on topological K-theory are familiar examples. These operations have proven extremely useful; for instance, the Adams operations were used to give a short and elegant proof of the Hopf invariant one problem [AA66]. More generally, many cohomology theories are equipped with this extra structure which is a consequence of an E_∞-structure on the representing spectrum.

It is a theorem of Goerss, Hopkins, and Miller [GH04] that Morava E-theory admits a unique E_∞-structure. This result initiated a large body of work on E-theory which proved that it is an object of central importance in chromatic homotopy theory with various connections to other areas of mathematics. In the homotopy category, the E_∞-structure manifests itself as a collection of multiplicative cohomology operations known as the total power operations. The study of these operations began in earnest in [And95] in which a connection is established between the total power operations and isogenies of the formal group associated to E_n. In this paper, we give a formula for the (rationalized) total power operations applied to a finite group in terms of the action of isogenies on the Drinfeld ring of full level structures on the formal group associated to E_n.

1.1. **Motivation and background.** Fix a prime p, a height n, and a height n formal group law Γ over κ a perfect field of characteristic p. This data determines the E_∞-ring spectrum E_n called Morava E-theory. It has been studied extensively because of its close relationship to several areas of mathematics: Work of Devinatz and Hopkins [DH04] as well as Rognes [Rog08], shows that it is a Galois extension of the $K(n)$-local sphere, a fundamental object of the stable homotopy category. It is closely connected to algebraic geometry as it carries the universal deformation $\mathbb{G}$ of Γ which determines many of its most important properties. It is also related to representation theory, it is a generalization of p-adic K-theory and admits a well-behaved character theory. In this paper we will take advantage of the last two relationships to study the total power operations determined by the unique E_∞-structure on E_n.

The total power operations are natural multiplicative non-additive maps

$$\mathbb{P}_m \colon E_n^0(X) \longrightarrow E_n^0(E\Sigma_m \times_{\Sigma_m} X^{m})$$

for all $m > 0$. These maps are quite mysterious and notoriously difficult to compute, see [Rezb]. At height 2 they have been explicitly determined for X a point and $m = 2, 3$, see [Reza, Zhu14]. Above height 2 there have been no explicit computations. Many of the most important operations on E_n including the Adams operations, Hecke operations, and logarithm [Rez06] can be built out of the total power operations using various simplifications of $\mathbb{P}_m$. A useful variant of $\mathbb{P}_m$ is given by restricting along the diagonal $X \longrightarrow X^m$, producing a map

$$P_m \colon E_n^0(X) \longrightarrow E_n^0(B\Sigma_m \times X) \cong E_n^0(B\Sigma_m) \otimes_{E_n^0} E_n^0(X),$$

the isomorphism being a consequence of the freeness of $E_n^0(B\Sigma_m)$ over E_n^0. Let $I \subset E_n^0(B\Sigma_{p^k})$ be the image of the transfer along the inclusion $\Sigma_{p^{k-1}}^p \subset \Sigma_{p^k}$. Then the quotient

$$P_{p^k}/I \colon E_n^0(X) \longrightarrow E_n^0(B\Sigma_{p^k})/I \otimes_{E_n^0} E_n^0(X)$$

is a ring map and it is reasonable to hope that it may be attacked using algebraic geometry associated to $\mathbb{G}$. For $X = BA$ where A is a finite abelian group this was accomplished by Ando [And95] and Ando, Hopkins, and Strickland [AHS04]. Instead of simplifying $\mathbb{P}_m$ by restricting along the diagonal or taking a quotient of the codomain, we simplify it by using a form of character theory for E_n.

Character theory for Morava E-theory was constructed by Hopkins, Kuhn, and Ravenel in [HKR00] building on work of Adams on p-adic K-theory in [Ada78], and extended by Stapleton [Sta13]. An introduction to the subject is available in [Sta]. As the E-theories are constructed purely homotopy theoretically, it is quite surprising that $E_n^0(BG)$ behaves so much like a "higher" representation ring. However, unlike K-theory, no geometric interpretation of E_n has been discovered yet. Let $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ and $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{L}^*$, the Pontryagin dual, so that there is an isomorphism $\mathbb{T} \cong (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$. Hopkins, Kuhn, and Ravenel construct a $p^{-1}E_n^0$-algebra C_0 that corepresents isomorphisms of p-divisible groups between $\mathbb{T}$ and $\mathbb{G}$:

$$\hom(C_0, R) \cong \mathrm{Iso}(R \otimes \mathbb{T}, R \otimes \mathbb{G}).$$

Thus there is an obvious action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$ on C_0. They produce a character map

$$\chi \colon E_n^0(BG) \longrightarrow Cl_n(G, C_0),$$

where $Cl_n(G, C_0)$ is the ring of generalized class functions on G. This is the set of C_0-valued functions on $\hom(\mathbb{L}, G)_{/\sim}$, the quotient of the set $\hom(\mathbb{L}, G)$ by the conjugation action of G. Theorem C in [HKR00] proves that the induced map

$$C_0 \otimes_{E_n^0} E_n^0(BG) \xrightarrow{\cong} Cl_n(G, C_0)$$

is an isomorphism for any finite group. There is also an $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$-action on $Cl_n(G, C_0)$ by combining the action on C_0 with the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$ on $\hom(\mathbb{L}, G)$ by precomposition with the Pontryagin dual. Theorem C of [HKR00] further states that the isomorphism above is $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$-equivariant and restricts to an isomorphism on fixed points

$$p^{-1}E_n^0(BG) \xrightarrow{\cong} Cl_n(G, C_0)^{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})}.$$

Thus it makes sense to ask if a multiplicative natural transformation can be constructed on class functions that is compatible with the total power operation for E_n through the character maps of [HKR00]:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_n^0(BG) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}_m"] \arrow[d, "\chi"'] & E_n^0(BG \wr \Sigma_m) \arrow[d, "\chi"] \\
Cl_n(G, C_0) \arrow[r, dashed, "\exists ?"] & Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_m, C_0).
\end{tikzcd}$$

There is no formal reason why such a thing might be possible,[1] and yet the construction of such a natural transformation is one of the goals of this paper.

1.2. **Main results.** In fact, we accomplish more than this. Let $\mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T})$ be the set of endoisogenies of $\mathbb{T}$, i.e., the set of endomorphisms with finite kernel, and let $\mathrm{Sub}(\mathbb{T})$ be the set of finite subgroups in $\mathbb{T}$. There is an $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$-principal bundle

$$\mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Sub}(\mathbb{T})$$

given by taking an isogeny to its kernel. For each section ϕ of this principal bundle we construct a multiplicative natural transformation

$$\mathbb{P}_m^{\phi} \colon Cl_n(-, C_0) \Rightarrow Cl_n(- \wr \Sigma_m, C_0)$$

that is compatible with the total power operation $\mathbb{P}_m$ through the character map χ. We refer the reader to Definition 5.6 for the precise and explicit formula.

Theorem A (Theorem 9.1)**.** Let $\mathbb{P}_m \colon E_n^0(BG) \longrightarrow E_n^0(BG \wr \Sigma_m)$ be the total power operation for Morava E_n applied to BG, let $\phi \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Sub}(\mathbb{T}), \mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T}))$, and let $\chi \colon E_n^0(BG) \longrightarrow Cl_n(G, C_0)$ be the character map. For all $n, m \geq 0$ there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_n^0(BG) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}_m"] \arrow[d, "\chi"'] & E_n^0(BG \wr \Sigma_m) \arrow[d, "\chi"] \\
Cl_n(G, C_0) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}_m^{\phi}"] & Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_m, C_0)
\end{tikzcd}$$

natural in G.

---

[1] It is not possible to base change $\mathbb{P}_m$ to C_0 because it is not a ring map. Further, simplifications of $\mathbb{P}_m$ such as P_{p^k}/I, which are ring maps, are not E_n^0-algebra maps.

We then prove that each of these "total power operations" $\mathbb{P}^{\phi}_{m}$ may be restricted to the $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$-fixed points. The result is independent of ϕ and we call it the "rationalized total power operation"

$$p^{-1}\mathbb{P}_m\colon p^{-1}E_n^0(BG) \longrightarrow p^{-1}E_n^0(BG \wr \Sigma_m).$$

Theorem B (Theorem 10.1)**.** For all finite groups G and any section $\phi \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Sub}(\mathbb{T}), \mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T}))$, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_n^0(BG) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}_m"] \arrow[d] & E_n^0(BG \wr \Sigma_m) \arrow[d] \\
p^{-1}E_n^0(BG) \arrow[r, "p^{-1}\mathbb{P}_m"'] & p^{-1}E_n^0(BG \wr \Sigma_m).
\end{tikzcd}$$

We note that the rationalization $p^{-1}E_n^0(BG)$ retains most of the information contained in $E_n^0(BG)$, so our result approximates the total power operation closely. In fact, $E_n^0(BG)$ is finitely generated and free for many finite groups G, the so-called good groups, see [HKR00, BS14]. Finally we show that the rationalized total power operation in fact deserves the name by proving it is a global power functor in the sense of [Gan].

Theorem C (Theorem 10.4, Corollary 10.7)**.** The rationalized total power operation is a global power functor such that the character map $\chi\colon E_n^0(B-) \longrightarrow p^{-1}E_n^0(B-)$ is a map of global power functors.

1.3. **Outline.** In Section 2, we establish most of the notation and terminology that will be used in the rest of the paper.

The first part of this paper, comprised of Sections 3 through 6, only contain results that do not rely on Morava E-theory as some of the ideas there might be of interest to readers outside stable homotopy theory. The goal of Section 3 is a thorough study of conjugacy classes of commuting elements in wreath products $G \wr \Sigma_m$ and their algebro-geometric interpretation. We suspect that this material is well-known, but were unable to locate a reference in the literature. Section 4 deals with the action of the isogenies of $\mathbb{T}$ on the Drinfeld ring of full level-structures on $\mathbb{G}$, which is fundamental for the rest of the paper and extends work of [HKR00]. We then construct multiplicative natural transformations $\mathbb{P}^{\phi}$ on class functions in Section 5 and study their basic properties. The question of whether one can choose a section ϕ such that the associated transformation $\mathbb{P}^{\phi}$ inherits the structure of a global power functor is considered in Section 6. We are able to give an affirmative answer for heights 1 and 2 by stipulating an explicit solution.

The second part begins with some recollections on Morava E-theory and power operations in Section 7. As a first step towards the proof of our main theorem, we consider the case of abelian groups in Section 8. Using work of Schlank and Stapleton [SS14], we extend the algebro-geometric description of the additive total power operations for such groups due to Ando, Hopkins, and Strickland. Inspired by Artin induction, Section 9 then proves Theorem A by reducing it to the case of abelian groups. Our work culminates in the final Section 10, where the previous results are combined to descend the multiplicative natural transformations to rationalized E-theory, showing uniquess and establishing its global power structure.

Acknowledgement. It is a pleasure to thank Charles Rezk and Tomer Schlank for their valuable input. Without some of their ideas this project would not have gotten off the ground. We would like to thank Nora Ganter, Justin Noel, and Chris Schommer-Pries for

helpful conversations, and Drew Heard for useful comments on an earlier draft. We also thank the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics for its hospitality.

2. Notation and conventions

Let G be a finite group and fix a prime p and a height $n \geq 0$. We set $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ and let $\hom(\mathbb{L}, G)$ be the set of continuous maps from $\mathbb{L}$ to G. Fixing a basis of $\mathbb{L}$ gives a bijection

$$\hom(\mathbb{L}, G) \cong \{(g_1, \dots, g_n) | [g_i, g_j] = e \text{ and } g_i^{p^k} = e \text{ for } k \gg 0\},$$

where the target is the set of n-tuples of pairwise commuting prime-power order elements in G. The group G acts on this set by conjugation and we write $\hom(\mathbb{L}, G)_{/\sim}$ for the set of conjugacy classes. Moreover, we will write $[\alpha]$ for the conjugacy class of a map $\alpha \colon \mathbb{L} \longrightarrow G$.

Let $G \wr \Sigma_m$ be the wreath product of G with Σ_m. This is the semidirect product $G^m \rtimes \Sigma_m$ with respect to the permutation action of Σ_m on G^m and thus it fits into a short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow G^m \longrightarrow G \wr \Sigma_m \xrightarrow{\pi} \Sigma_m \longrightarrow 1.$$

We will always write π for the projection on to the symmetric group, and represent elements in $G \wr \Sigma_m$ as $(g_1, \dots, g_m; \sigma)$ with $g_i \in G$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_m$.

We call a map $\alpha \colon \mathbb{L} \longrightarrow \Sigma_m$ transitive if it represents a transitive $\mathbb{L}$-set of order m, or what is the same thing, the image of the map $\operatorname{im} \alpha$ is a transitive abelian subgroup of Σ_m. This can only occur when $m = p^k$. We say that a map

$$\alpha \colon \mathbb{L} \longrightarrow G \wr \Sigma_{p^k}$$

is transitive if $\pi\alpha$ is transitive. Let $\hom(\mathbb{L}, G \wr \Sigma_{p^k})^{\text{trans}}$ be the set of transitive maps and let $\hom(\mathbb{L}, G \wr \Sigma_{p^k})^{\text{trans}}_{/\sim}$ be the set of conjugacy classes of transitive maps.

Pontryagin duality is pervasive and unavoidable throughout this paper. We will use the notation $(-)^*$ for the Pontryagin duality endofunctor on abelian groups. Thus for an abelian group A we write

$$A^* = \hom(A, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

for the dual abelian group and for a map of abelian groups $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ we write f^* for the dual map. Let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{L}^*$, then we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{T} \cong (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^n.$$

Also denote by $[p^k] \colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ the multiplication by p^k map and let $\mathbb{T}[p^k]$ be the p^k-torsion in $\mathbb{T}$.

Let $\operatorname{Sub}_{p^k}(\mathbb{T})$ be the set of subgroups of order p^k in $\mathbb{T}$. Let $\operatorname{Sum}_m(\mathbb{T})$ be the set of sums of subgroups $\oplus_i H_i$ with $H_i \subset \mathbb{T}$ and $\sum_i |H_i| = m$. It is clear that $\operatorname{Sub}_{p^k}(\mathbb{T})$ is a subset of $\operatorname{Sum}_{p^k}(\mathbb{T})$. Given a subgroup $f_H \colon H \subset \mathbb{T}$ of order p^k we may consider the Pontryagin dual of f_H,

$$f_H^* \colon \mathbb{L} \twoheadrightarrow H^*,$$

with associated short exact sequence

$$0 \to \ker(f_H^*) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L} \twoheadrightarrow H^* \to 0.$$

The kernel of f_H^* is canonically isomorphic to $(\mathbb{T}/H)^*$ and abstractly (non-canonically) isomorphic to $\mathbb{L}$. To ease the notation we will set $\mathbb{L}_H = \ker(f_H^*) \subset \mathbb{L}$.

More generally, we define $\operatorname{Sub}_{p^k}(\mathbb{T}, G)$ to be the set of pairs consisting of a subgroup of order p^k, $H \subset \mathbb{T}$, and a conjugacy class in $\hom(\mathbb{L}_H, G)$. We will write such a pair as

$(H,[\alpha])$. We define $\mathrm{Sum}_m(\mathbb{T},G)$ to be the collection of sums of pairs $\oplus_i(H_i,[\alpha_i])$ where $H_i \subset \mathbb{T}$, $\sum_i |H_i| = m$, and

$$[\alpha_i] \in \hom(\mathbb{L}_{H_i}, G)_{/\sim}.$$

If we let e be the trivial group, then we have

$$\mathrm{Sub}_{p^k}(\mathbb{T},e) = \mathrm{Sub}_{p^k}(\mathbb{T})$$

and

$$\mathrm{Sum}_m(\mathbb{T},e) = \mathrm{Sum}_m(\mathbb{T}).$$

Finally, given an isogeny $\phi_H\colon \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}$ with kernel H, it is necessary to have notation for the induced triangle

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{T} \arrow[r, two heads, "\phi_H"] \arrow[d, "q_H"'] & \mathbb{T} \\
\mathbb{T}/H. \arrow[ur, "\psi_H"', "\cong"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The dual triangle then takes the form

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{L} & \mathbb{L} \arrow[l, hook', "\phi_H^*"'] \arrow[dl, "\psi_H^*", "\cong"'] \\
\mathbb{L}_H\,. \arrow[u, hook, "q_H^*"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

3. Conjugacy classes in wreath products

The purpose of this section is to establish a natural bijection

$$\hom(\mathbb{L}, G \wr \Sigma_m)_{/\sim} \xrightarrow[\cong]{F} \mathrm{Sum}_m(\mathbb{T},G).$$

In fact we have the following natural bijections that we will use throughout the paper:

Conjugacy classes	Subgroups
$\hom(\mathbb{L},\Sigma_{p^k})^{\mathrm{trans}}_{/\sim}$	$\mathrm{Sub}_{p^k}(\mathbb{T})$
$\hom(\mathbb{L},\Sigma_m)_{/\sim}$	$\mathrm{Sum}_m(\mathbb{T})$
$\hom(\mathbb{L},G\wr\Sigma_{p^k})^{\mathrm{trans}}_{/\sim}$	$\mathrm{Sub}_{p^k}(\mathbb{T},G)$
$\hom(\mathbb{L},G\wr\Sigma_m)_{/\sim}$	$\mathrm{Sum}_m(\mathbb{T},G)$

We will use F for any of these bijections (they are all special cases of the bottom one). The top two bijections are well-known. The first is constructed by sending a transitive map $\mathbb{L} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Sigma_{p^k}$ to the image of the map

$$\operatorname{im}\alpha \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} \mathbb{T}\,.$$

The resulting subgroup $H \subset \mathbb{T}$ is an invariant of the conjugacy class $[\alpha]$.

The second bijection is constructed by factoring a map $\alpha\colon \mathbb{L} \longrightarrow \Sigma_m$ (up to conjugacy) through

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \prod\limits_i \Sigma_{h_i} \arrow[d] \\
\mathbb{L} \arrow[ur, dashed, "\prod\limits_i \alpha_i"] \arrow[r, "\alpha"] & \Sigma_m,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\sum_i h_i = m$ and so that each α_i is transitive. We let H_i be the image of the Pontrygain dual of the map $\mathbb{L} \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} \operatorname{im}\alpha_i$ so that

$$[\alpha] \mapsto F([\alpha]) = \oplus_i H_i = \oplus_i (\operatorname{im}\alpha_i)^*.$$

Now we establish the third bijection.

Proposition 3.1. Let $[\alpha] \in \hom(\mathbb{L}, G \wr \Sigma_{p^k})^{\text{trans}}_{/\sim}$. Let $H \subset \mathbb{T}$ be the subgroup of order p^k corresponding to $[\pi\alpha]$. There exists $\alpha^c \in [\alpha]$ such that there is a factorization

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{L}_H \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\bar{\alpha}^c"] & \mathbb{L} \arrow[d, "\alpha^c"] \arrow[dr, "\pi\alpha^c"] & \\
G \arrow[r, "\Delta"] & G \wr \Sigma_{p^k} \arrow[r, "\pi"] & \Sigma_{p^k},
\end{tikzcd}$$

where Δ is the natural diagonal map.

Proof. Let T be a G-torsor (i.e., a free transitive G-set) and let $\underline{p^k}$ be a set of cardinality p^k, then the automorphisms of $T \times \underline{p^k}$ as a G-set are $G \wr \Sigma_{p^k}$. We are viewing $T \times \underline{p^k}$ as an $\mathbb{L}$-set through α. Of course, two maps α and α' are conjugate if and only if the corresponding $\mathbb{L}$-sets are isomorphic. The projection

$$T \times \underline{p^k} \xrightarrow{l} \underline{p^k}$$

induces the projection $\pi\colon G \wr \Sigma_{p^k} \longrightarrow \Sigma_{p^k}$. The composite $\pi\alpha$ gives a subgroup $H \subset \mathbb{T}$ of order p^k. Since α is transitive and $\mathbb{L}$ is abelian, the fibers are all $\mathbb{L}_H$-sets.

Let $i, j \in \underline{p^k}$, then there exists a permutation in $\operatorname{im}\pi\alpha$ bringing i to j. Any lift of this element witnesses an isomorphism (as $\mathbb{L}_H$-sets) between the two fibers $l^{-1}(i)$ and $l^{-1}(j)$. Thus by transitivity, the fibers are all isomorphic as $\mathbb{L}_H$-sets.

Fix any $\mathbb{L}_H$-set T isomorphic to the fibers. A choice of an isomorphism is just an element of G. Let g_i bring $l^{-1}(i)$ to T. Now we have a map

$$T \times \underline{p^k} \xrightarrow{\cong} T \times \underline{p^k}$$

induced by g_j on $l^{-1}(j)$. The induced composite $\mathbb{L} \xrightarrow{\alpha} G \wr \Sigma_{p^k} \xrightarrow{\cong} G \wr \Sigma_{p^k}$ satisfies the demands in the statement of the proposition. □

It is clear from the proof of the proposition that any conjugate of $\bar{\alpha}^c$ could be produced by the same method. Also, the conjugacy class of $\bar{\alpha}^c$ is determined by $[\alpha]$. Let us call this class $[\bar{\alpha}] \in \hom(\mathbb{L}_H, G)$.

Proposition 3.2. The natural map

$$\hom(\mathbb{L}, G \wr \Sigma_{p^k})^{\text{trans}}_{/\sim} \xrightarrow{F} \operatorname{Sub}_{p^k}(\mathbb{T}, G)$$

sending

$$[\alpha] \mapsto (H, [\bar{\alpha}])$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Assume $[\alpha], [\beta] \in \hom(\mathbb{L}, G \wr \Sigma_{p^k})^{\text{trans}}_{/\sim}$ such that $F([\alpha]) = F([\beta])$. We will show that $[\alpha] = [\beta]$. First choose $\alpha \in [\alpha]$ and $\beta \in [\beta]$ satisfying Proposition 3.1. Thus we have two

$\mathbb{L}$-sets X_α and X_β such that there are maps

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T \arrow[r, "g", "\cong"'] \arrow[d] & T \arrow[d] \\
X_\alpha \arrow[r, dashed] \arrow[d] & X_\beta \arrow[d] \\
\underline{p^k} \arrow[r, "\sigma", "\cong"'] & \underline{p^k}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We would like to construct the dashed arrow. Note that every fiber on the left is isomorphic to the every fiber on the right (as $\mathbb{L}_H$-sets) by the same isomorphism (this is what we get from the proof of Proposition 3.1). Now if σ has the cycle decomposition

$$\sigma = (a_{1,1} \ldots a_{1,i_1}) \cdots (a_{h,1}, \ldots, a_{h,i_h})$$

then the element in the wreath product with g in the slot corresponding to $a_{q,1}$ for all q from 1 to h and e everywhere else and with σ as the permutation does the job.

Thus the map is injective. But there is an obvious section to the map. Fix a basis for $\mathbb{L}$ and let l be a basis element. We specify the value of α on l. We have $l^s \in \mathbb{L}_H$ for some s. Let $a = \bar{\alpha}(l^t)$ and we may take

$$\pi\alpha(l) = \sigma(1 \ldots p^s)(p^s + 1 \ldots p^{2s}) \ldots (p^k - p^s + 1 \ldots p^k))\sigma^{-1},$$

Finally we let

$$\alpha(l) = \sigma(\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0, a}_{p^s}, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0, a}_{p^s}, \ldots, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0, a}_{p^s}; (1 \ldots p^s)(p^s{+}1 \ldots p^{2s}) \ldots (p^k{-}p^s{+}1 \ldots p^k))\sigma^{-1}.$$

□

Proposition 3.3. The natural map

$$\hom(\mathbb{L}, G \wr \Sigma_m)_{/\sim} \xrightarrow{F} \mathrm{Sum}_m(\mathbb{T}, G)$$

given by

$$[\alpha] \mapsto F([\alpha]) = \oplus_i (H_i, [\bar{\alpha}_i]) = \oplus(\mathrm{im}(\alpha_i)^*, [\bar{\alpha}_i])$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. First note that the map is well-defined. Assume α and β are conjugate, then $\pi\alpha$ and $\pi\beta$ are conjugate so they give the same sums of subgroups of $\mathbb{T}$. Since they are conjugate, the isomorphism $\underline{m} \xrightarrow{\cong} \underline{m}$ lifts to an isomorphism between X_α and X_β. Considering the restriction of this isomorphism to transitive components in $\underline{m}$ and Proposition 3.2 implies the sums are equal,

$$\oplus_i (H_i, [\bar{\alpha}_i]) = \oplus_i (H_i, [\bar{\beta}_i]).$$

Next we show that the map is injective. Assume $F([\alpha]) = F([\beta])$, we show that $[\alpha] = [\beta]$. Since $[\pi\alpha] = [\pi\beta]$ we may use the proof of Proposition 3.2 to build an isomorphism of G-sets over the transitive components, but those fit together to give an isomorphism over $\underline{m}$.

Finally we can produce a section to the map just as we do at the end of the proof of Proposition 3.2. □

Remark 3.4. There is a more geometric interpretation of the above results. Conjugacy classes of tuples of commuting elements are the homotopy classes

$$[B\,\mathbb{L}, BG \wr \Sigma_m].$$

The space $B\,\mathbb{L}$ is the p-complete torus. The homotopy classes $[B\,\mathbb{L}, B\Sigma_m]$ are the (isomorphism classes of) m-fold covers of the p-complete torus and the homotopy classes $[B\,\mathbb{L}, BG \wr \Sigma_m]$ are the (isomorphism classes of) principal G-bundles on m-fold covers of the p-complete torus.

We may do slightly better than Proposition 3.1 when choosing representatives of $[\alpha] \in \hom(\mathbb{L}, G \wr \Sigma_{p^k})^{\mathrm{trans}}_{/\sim}$.

Corollary 3.5. We may choose $\alpha^c \in [\alpha] \in \hom(\mathbb{L}, G \wr \Sigma_{p^k})^{\mathrm{trans}}_{/\sim}$ with the property that it factors through the inclusion

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & (\operatorname{im}\alpha^c) \wr \Sigma_{p^k} \arrow[d] \\
\mathbb{L} \arrow[ur, dashed] \arrow[r, "\alpha^c"'] & G \wr \Sigma_{p^k}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. This is the section to

$$F\colon \hom(\mathbb{L}, G \wr \Sigma_{p^k})^{\mathrm{trans}}_{/\sim} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sub}_{p^k}(\mathbb{T}, G)$$

given at the end of the proof of Proposition 3.2. □

Corollary 3.6. Let $\sum_j a_j p^j$ be the p-adic expansion of m, then the inclusion

$$\prod_j \Sigma_{p^j}^{a_j} \longrightarrow \Sigma_m$$

induces a surjection

$$\prod_j \mathrm{Sum}_{p^j}(\mathbb{T}, G)^{\times a_j} \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Sum}_m(\mathbb{T}, G).$$

Proof. This is immediate from the definitions. □

Proposition 3.7. The map $\Delta\colon G \times \Sigma_{p^k} \longrightarrow G \wr \Sigma_{p^k}$ induces the map

$$\mathrm{Sub}_{p^k}(\mathbb{T}) \times \hom(\mathbb{L}, G)_{/\sim} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sub}_{p^k}(\mathbb{T}, G)$$

sending

$$(H, [\alpha]) \mapsto (H, [\mathbb{L}_H \overset{q_H^*}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbb{L} \xrightarrow{\alpha} G]) = (H, [\alpha q_H^*]).$$

Proof. Let $\mathbb{L} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \Sigma_{p^k}$ be transitive, let l be a generator of $\mathbb{L}$, and let $\gamma(l) = (g, \sigma) \in G \times \Sigma_{p^k}$, this maps to $(g, \ldots, g; \sigma) \in G \wr \Sigma_{p^k}$. If $\sigma^t = e$ then

$$(g, \ldots, g; \sigma)^t = (g^t, \ldots, g^t; e)$$

by transitivity (the cycles in σ must all have same length). Thus l^t, a generator of $\mathbb{L}_H$, factors through $G \longrightarrow G \wr \Sigma_{p^k}$. Putting these together for the generators of $\mathbb{L}$ gives the composite

$$\mathbb{L}_H \xrightarrow{q_H^*} \mathbb{L} \xrightarrow{\alpha} G.$$

□

Note that we now have a way of describing conjugacy classes in iterated wreath products of symmetric groups. There is a bijection

$$\hom(\mathbb{L}, G \wr \Sigma_{p^j} \wr \Sigma_{p^t})_{/\sim} \cong \mathrm{Sum}_{p^t}(\mathbb{T}, G \wr \Sigma_{p^j}),$$

but conjugacy classes in $G \wr \Sigma_{p^j}$ satisfy the same kind of formula. Thus we may write

$$\oplus_i(H_i, [\alpha_i]) = \oplus_i(H_i, \oplus_j(K_j, [(\bar{\alpha_i})_j])).$$

Proposition 3.8. Let $j + t = k$ and $\nabla\colon G \wr \Sigma_{p^j} \wr \Sigma_{p^t} \longrightarrow G \wr \Sigma_{p^k}$ be the natural inclusion. This induces the map

$$\oplus_i(H_i, \oplus_j(K_j, [(\bar{\alpha_i})_j])) \mapsto \oplus_{i,j}(T_{i,j}, [(\bar{\alpha_i})_j]),$$

where $T_{i,j}$ is defined by the pullback

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T_{i,j} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{T} \arrow[d] \\
K_j \arrow[r] & \mathbb{T}/H_i.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. It suffices to show this on $\beta\colon \mathbb{L} \longrightarrow G \wr \Sigma_{p^j} \wr \Sigma_{p^t}$ with $\pi\beta$ transitive and $\mathbb{L}_H \xrightarrow{\bar{\beta}} G \wr \Sigma_{p^j}$ transitive. This implies that $\nabla\beta$ is transitive. In this case we have $K \subset \mathbb{T}/H$ and the pullback T is clearly the kernel of the composite

$$\mathbb{T} \xrightarrow{q_H} \mathbb{T}/H \longrightarrow (\mathbb{T}/H)/K.$$

Now we check that $[\bar{\alpha}]$ is not affected by composition with ∇. It fits into the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{L}_T \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\bar{\alpha}^c"'] & \mathbb{L}_H \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha^c"] & \mathbb{L} \arrow[d] \arrow[dr] & \\
G \arrow[r] & G \wr \Sigma_{p^j} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\pi"] & G \wr \Sigma_{p^j} \wr \Sigma_{p^t} \arrow[r, "\pi"] & \Sigma_{p^t} \\
& \Sigma_{p^l} & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

which implies it fits into the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{L}_T \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\bar{\alpha}^c"] & \mathbb{L} \arrow[d, "\nabla\beta"] \arrow[dr] & \\
G \arrow[r] & G \wr \Sigma_{p^k} \arrow[r, "\pi"] & \Sigma_{p^k}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

There is an obvious left action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$ on $\hom(\mathbb{L}, G \wr \Sigma_m)_{/\sim}$ given by precomposition with the Pontryagin dual. We translate this action to $\mathrm{Sum}_m(\mathbb{T}, G)$. Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$ and $\mathbb{L}' \subseteq \mathbb{L}$, then we write $\sigma^*_{|\mathbb{L}'}$ for the restriction of σ^* to $\mathbb{L}'$. The following proposition will not be needed until Section 10.

Proposition 3.9. The left action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$ on $\mathrm{Sum}_m(\mathbb{T}, G)$ maps

$$\oplus_i(H_i, [\alpha_i]) \overset{\sigma}{\mapsto} \oplus_i(\sigma(H_i), [\alpha_i \sigma^*_{|\mathbb{L}_{\sigma(H_i)}}]).$$

Proof. The sum of subgroups is equivalent a map $\beta\colon \mathbb{L} \longrightarrow \Sigma_m$. The dual of the composite

$$\mathbb{L} \xrightarrow{\sigma^*} \mathbb{L} \xrightarrow{\beta} \Sigma_m$$

clearly maps H_i to σH_i. Now we may assume that we have a transitive map $\mathbb{L} \xrightarrow{\beta} G \wr \Sigma_{p^k}$ such that $\pi\beta$ corresponds to H_i and $\beta_{|\,\mathbb{L}_{H_i}}$ factors through α_i. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{L}_{\sigma H_i} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\sigma^*_{|\mathbb{L}_{\sigma(H_i)}}"'] & \mathbb{L} \arrow[d, "\sigma^*"] \\
\mathbb{L}_{H_i} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha_i"'] & \mathbb{L} \arrow[d, "\beta"] \\
G \arrow[r] & G \wr \Sigma_m,
\end{tikzcd}$$

which shows that $[\alpha_i]$ is sent to $[\alpha_i \sigma^*_{|\mathbb{L}_{\sigma(H_i)}}]$.

□

4. The action of isogenies on C_0

Let Γ be a height n formal group over a perfect field of characteristic p called κ. We introduce three rings depending on this data.

Let $E_n \cong W(\kappa)[\![u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}]\!]$ be the Lubin–Tate ring. The Lubin–Tate moduli problem associates to a complete local ring R with residue field κ the groupoid $\mathrm{Def}_\Gamma(R)$ with objects deformations of Γ to R and morphisms $\star$-isomorphisms, see [Rez98]. The main theorem of Lubin and Tate is that there is an equivalence of groupoids

$$\mathrm{Spf}(E_n)(R) \simeq \mathrm{Def}_\Gamma(R).$$

The ring E_n carries the formal group $\mathbb{G}$, which is the universal deformation of Γ.

Let D_k be the Drinfeld ring of full level-k structures on $\mathbb{G}$. It is a complete local E_n-algebra that is finitely generated and free as an E_n-module, and it has the property that

$$\mathrm{Spf}(D_k) \cong \mathrm{Level}(\mathbb{T}[p^k], \mathbb{G}),$$

where $\mathrm{Level}(\mathbb{T}[p^k], \mathbb{G})$ is the functor sending a complete local E_n-algebra R to the set of level structures $\mathrm{Level}(\mathbb{T}[p^k], R \otimes \mathbb{G})$. It has been studied by homotopy theorists in [HKR00], [And95], [AHS04], and [Str97]. Following Ando, for $H \subset \mathbb{T}[p^k] \subset \mathbb{T}$ a finite subgroup, the formal group $\mathbb{G}/H$ may be defined over D_k. It is a deformation and thus is classified by a map $E_n \xrightarrow{Q_H} D_k$. Thus there is a (necessarily unique) $\star$-isomorphism

$$D_k \, {}^{Q_H}\!\otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G} \cong (D_k \otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G})/H.$$

Let

$$D_\infty = \operatorname*{colim}_k D_k = \operatorname*{colim}_k \Gamma \, \mathrm{Level}(\mathbb{T}[p^k], \mathbb{G}),$$

where the colimit is induced by the precomposition

$$(\mathbb{T}[p^k] \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}) \mapsto (\mathbb{T}[p^{k-1}] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}[p^k] \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}).$$

We define $\hom(D_\infty, R) = \lim \hom(D_k, R)$, an element in this set being an infinite compatible family of level structures. It is well-known that there is an action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$ on D_∞, see [HKR00]. This follows simply by precomposing a level structure with the automorphism. In this paper we will extend the inverse of this action, that is, the action by precomposition with the inverse automorphism. Now let $\mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T})$ be the endoisogenies (endomorphisms with finite kernel) of $\mathbb{T}$. Note that this is contravariantly isomorphic to $M_n^{\det\neq 0}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, the set of $n \times n$ matrices with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ that have nonzero determinant.

Proposition 4.1. The action of the group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$ by E_n-algebra maps on D_∞ given by precomposing a level structure with the inverse automorphism extends to an action of $\mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T})$ by ring maps.

Proof. Fix an isogeny $\phi_H \colon \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}$ with kernel H. We want to construct a map $D_\infty \xrightarrow{\phi_H} D_\infty$. We will define this by mapping to a cofinal subcategory of the diagram category. For $H \subseteq \mathbb{T}[p^k] \subset \mathbb{T}$, fix $k' \geq k$ such that $\mathbb{T}[p^k] \subseteq \phi_H(\mathbb{T}[p^{k'}])$. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_n \arrow[r, "Q_H"] \arrow[d] & D_k \arrow[d] & \\
D_k \arrow[r, dashed] & D_{k'} \arrow[r] & R,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where Q_H is the map classifying $\mathbb{G}/H$. We would like to construct the dashed map.

The map $D_{k'} \longrightarrow R$ is a map of E_n-algebras for the standard E_n-algebra structure on $D_{k'}$. Thus we have a level structure $\mathbb{T}[p^{k'}] \hookrightarrow R \otimes \mathbb{G}$. Taking the quotient by H gives the level structure

$$\mathbb{T}[p^{k'}]/H \cong \phi_H(\mathbb{T}[p^{k'}]) \hookrightarrow (R \otimes \mathbb{G})/H.$$

We put a different E_n-algebra structure on R by using Q_H. We abuse notation and denote the composite $E_n \xrightarrow{Q_H} D_{k'} \longrightarrow R$ by Q_H. Recall that we have the canonical isomorphism $(R \otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G})/H \cong R\ {}^{Q_H}\!\otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G}$. Precomposition with the inclusion $\mathbb{T}[p^k] \subseteq \phi_H(\mathbb{T}[p^{k'}])$ gives

$$\mathbb{T}[p^k] \hookrightarrow R\ {}^{Q_H}\!\otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G},$$

which is classified by a map $D_k \longrightarrow R$ making the whole diagram commute. Now take $R = D_{k'}$.

To check compatibility of the maps it suffices to consider the following situation. Let $l > k$ and $l' > k'$ so that $\mathbb{T}[p^l] \subset \phi_H(\mathbb{T}[p^{l'}])$, then the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{T}[p^{k'}] \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, hook] & D_{l'} \otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G} \arrow[d, "="] \\
\mathbb{T}[p^{l'}] \arrow[r, hook] & D_{l'} \otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G}
\end{tikzcd}$$

gives rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{T}[p^k] \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, hook] & \phi_H(\mathbb{T}[p^{k'}]) \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, hook] & (D_{l'} \otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G})/H \arrow[r, "\cong"] \arrow[d, "="] & D_{l'}\ {}^{Q_H}\!\otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G} \arrow[d, "="] \\
\mathbb{T}[p^l] \arrow[r, hook] & \phi_H(\mathbb{T}[p^{l'}]) \arrow[r, hook] & (D_{l'} \otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G})/H \arrow[r, "\cong"] & D_{l'}\ {}^{Q_H}\!\otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

This implies the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_n \arrow[r, "Q_H"] \arrow[d] & D_k \arrow[d] \\
D_k \arrow[r, dashed] \arrow[d] & D_{k'} \arrow[d] \\
D_l \arrow[r, dashed] & D_{l'}
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. We can now make precise the (more informal sounding) statement that ϕ_H sends the universal level structure $\mathbb{T} \hookrightarrow D_\infty \otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G}$ to the composite

$$\mathbb{T} \xrightarrow{\psi_H^{-1}} \mathbb{T}/H \longrightarrow (D_\infty \otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G})/H \xrightarrow{\cong} D_\infty \,{}^{Q_H}\!\otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G}.$$

Finally we show that this action extends the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$ on D_∞ by precomposition with the inverse automorphism. Indeed, by the above, the automorphism $\phi_e \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$ sends the universal level structure $\mathbb{T} \hookrightarrow D_\infty \otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G}$ to the composite

$$\mathbb{T} \xrightarrow{\phi_e^{-1}} \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow D_\infty \otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G},$$

because in this case $\psi_e = \phi_e$ due to the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{T} \arrow[r, "\phi_e"] \arrow[dr, "q_e=id"'] & \mathbb{T} \\
 & \mathbb{T}/e = \mathbb{T}. \arrow[u, "\psi_e"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

We note a corollary of the proof.

Corollary 4.2. Given an endoisogeny ϕ_H of $\mathbb{T}$ with kernel $H \subset \mathbb{T}$, then over D_∞, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{T} \arrow[r, "\phi_H"] \arrow[dr, "q_H"'] & \mathbb{T} \arrow[r, hook] & D_\infty \,{}^{Q_H}\!\otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G} \\
 & \mathbb{T}/H \arrow[u, "\psi_H"'] \arrow[r, hook] & (D_\infty \otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G})/H \arrow[u, "\cong"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

The ring $C_0 = p^{-1}D_\infty$ was introduced in [HKR00] to serve as suitable coefficients for the codomain of the character map. By definition, C_0 is acted on by $\mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T})$. Hopkins, Kuhn, and Ravenel showed that $C_0^{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})} \cong p^{-1}E_n$. It seems reasonable to conjecture that $C_0^{\mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T})} \cong \mathbb{Q}_p$. The ring C_0 also has an algebro-geometric description. It carries the universal isomorphism (of p-divisible groups) $\mathbb{T} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{G}$.

Remark 4.3. The action defined above in Proposition 4.1 is a right action of $\mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T})$ on D_∞. The monoid $\mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T})$ is dual to $M_n^{\det \neq 0}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ which acts on D_∞ on the left. Given $x \in D_\infty$ or $x \in C_0$ and an isogeny ϕ_H we will write $\phi_H^*(x)$ for the action by the Pontryagin dual. One further remark is necessary. In [HKR00] the inverse action is defined and they write it as a left action even though it is a right action. This could cause confusion.

Example 4.4. Take $n = 1$ and the height 1 formal group $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m$. In this case the Drinfeld ring D_k is just $\mathbb{Z}_p$ adjoin all primitive p^kth roots of unity. The isogeny $[p^k]$ acts trivially on D_∞. This can be seen in many ways. To see it using the proof above note that Q_H must be the standard algebra structure since $E_n = \mathbb{Z}_p$. Thus $(R \otimes \hat{\mathbb{G}}_m)/H \cong R \otimes \hat{\mathbb{G}}_m$ canonically. Now the level structure $\Lambda_k \longrightarrow R \otimes \hat{\mathbb{G}}_m$ is precisely the restriction to Λ_k of the one we began with. Since every isogeny has the form up^k for some unit (automorphism) u, we see that

$$D_\infty^{\mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)} = D_\infty^{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)} = \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Example 4.5. In fact, the isogeny $[p^k]\colon \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}$ always acts by isomorphisms on D_∞. This is due to the fact that there is a $\star$-isomorphism

$$(D_k \otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G})/\mathbb{T}[p^k] \cong D_k \otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G}$$

covering the nk-fold Frobenius on the perfect field k (see Example 6.5 and Subsection 11.3 of [Rez09]). Thus the action of $[p^k]$ on D_∞ is determined by the unique lift of Frobenius $W(\kappa) \xrightarrow{\cong} W(\kappa)$. When $\kappa = \mathbb{F}_p$ this is the identity map (as in Proposition 3.6.1 of [And95]). That is, $Q_{\mathbb{T}[p^k]}$ is the standard E_n-algebra structure on D_k twisted by the nk-fold deformation of Frobenius.

5. Multiplicative natural transformations on class functions

The goal of this section is to construct a collection of operations on class functions

$$\mathbb{P}_m^\phi\colon Cl_n(G, C_0) \longrightarrow Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_m, C_0)$$

that are multiplicative, non-additive, natural in the finite group G, and combine the action of isogenies on C_0 with the description of conjugacy classes in wreath products given in Section 3. In Section 9 we will show that the operations constructed in this section are compatible with the total power operation in E-theory. Now we define the main objects of study and prove some basic results about them.

Recall that $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ and that $\hom(\mathbb{L}, G)_{/\sim}$ is the set of conjugacy classes of maps from $\mathbb{L}$ to G.

Definition 5.1. For a finite group G and a subgroup $H \subset \mathbb{T}$, define $Cl_n^H(G, C_0)$ to be the set of C_0-valued functions on $\hom(\mathbb{L}_H, G)_{/\sim}$. When H is the trivial subgroup we will abbreviate this to $Cl_n(G, C_0)$. Recall that C_0 depends on n and that $Cl_n^H(G, C_0)$ depends on the prime p even though it is not part of the notation.

Proposition 5.2. Given an isogeny $\phi_H\colon \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}$ with kernel H we may produce a natural map of rings

$$Cl_n(G, C_0) \longrightarrow Cl_n^H(G, C_0)$$

by sending

$$f \mapsto f^{\phi_H},$$

where $f^{\phi_H}([\alpha]) = \phi_H^* f([\alpha\psi_H^*])$.

Proof. First we recall what the notation means. We have $\phi_H^*\colon C_0 \longrightarrow C_0$, the action on C_0 by the isogeny. Recall that $\psi_H\colon \mathbb{T}/H \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{T}$ is the isomorphism induced by ϕ_H and that $\psi_H^*\colon \mathbb{L} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_H$ is the Pontryagin dual. Thus the map is well defined.

To prove naturality, let $K \xrightarrow{i} G$ be a map of finite groups. This induces restriction maps $\mathrm{Res}\colon Cl_n(G, C_0) \longrightarrow Cl_n(K, C_0)$ and $\mathrm{Res}^H\colon Cl_n^H(G, C_0) \longrightarrow Cl_n^H(K, C_0)$. Now we show that $\mathrm{Res}^H \phi_H = \phi_H \mathrm{Res}$. Let $\alpha\colon \mathbb{L}_H \longrightarrow K$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Res}^H(f^{\phi_H})([\alpha]) &= f^{\phi_H}([i\alpha]) \\ &= \phi_H^* f([i\alpha\psi_H^*]) \\ &= \phi_H^* \mathrm{Res}(f)([\alpha\psi_H^*]) \\ &= \mathrm{Res}(f)^{\phi_H}([\alpha]).\end{aligned}$$

Now we show the map is a ring map. Let $f, g \in Cl_n(G, C_0)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(f+g)^{\phi_H}([\alpha]) &= \phi_H^*(f+g)([\alpha\psi_H^*]) \\ &= \phi_H^*(f([\alpha\psi_H^*]) + g([\alpha\psi_H^*])) \\ &= \phi_H^* f([\alpha\psi_H^*]) + \phi_H^* g([\alpha\psi_H^*]) \\ &= f^{\phi_H}([\alpha]) + g^{\phi_H}([\alpha])\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(fg)^{\phi_H}([\alpha]) &= \phi_H^*(fg)([\alpha\psi_H^*]) \\ &= \phi_H^*(f([\alpha\psi_H^*])g([\alpha\psi_H^*])) \\ &= \phi_H^*(f([\alpha\psi_H^*])\phi_H^* g([\alpha\psi_H^*])) \\ &= f^{\phi_H}([\alpha])g^{\phi_H}([\alpha]).\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 5.3. When restricted to $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T}) \subset \mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T})$ this recovers the inverse of the action of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ on class function described by [HKR00].

Proof. The important thing to note is that $q_e = id\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ so we have a triangle

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{T} \arrow[r, "\phi_e"] \arrow[dr, "id"'] & \mathbb{T} \\
& \mathbb{T}/e = \mathbb{T} \arrow[u, "\psi_e"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

factoring the identity map. Thus $\psi_e = \phi_e$ and Proposition 5.2 produces a map

$$Cl_n(G, C_0) \longrightarrow Cl_n(G, C_0)$$

and

$$f^{\phi_e}([\alpha]) = \phi_e^* f([\alpha\psi_e^*]) = \phi_e^* f([\alpha\phi_e^*])$$

which is the action described in [HKR00] after taking into account Remark 4.3. □

Remark 5.4. We will often use the isomorphism

$$Cl_n(G \times K, C_0) \cong Cl_n(G, C_0) \otimes_{C_0} Cl_n(K, C_0)$$

which follows immediately from the definition of $Cl_n(-, C_0)$.

Our goal is to construct a family of multiplicative natural transformations

$$Cl_n(-, C_0) \Rightarrow Cl_n(- \wr \Sigma_m, C_0).$$

Recall that $\mathrm{Sub}(\mathbb{T})$ is the set of finite subgroups of $\mathbb{T}$. We may produce a finite subgroup of $\mathbb{T}$ from an isogeny $\alpha\colon \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}$ by taking the kernel of α. The induced surjection

$$\mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sub}(\mathbb{T})$$

makes $\mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T})$ into a principal $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$-bundle over $\mathrm{Sub}(\mathbb{T})$, and we denote its set of sections by $\Gamma(\mathrm{Sub}(\mathbb{T}), \mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T}))$.

Fix a section $\phi \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Sub}(\mathbb{T}), \mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T}))$. We put together Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 4.1 to build a map

$$\mathbb{P}_m^{\phi} \colon Cl_n(G, C_0) \longrightarrow Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_m, C_0).$$

This map sends $f \in Cl_n(G, C_0)$ to $\mathbb{P}_m^{\phi}(f)$, where we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_m^{\phi}(f)(\oplus_i(H_i, [\alpha_i])) &= \prod_i f^{\phi_{H_i}}([\alpha_i]) \\ &= \prod_i \phi_{H_i}^* f([\alpha_i \psi_{H_i}^*]). \end{aligned}$$

For a conjugacy class $[\alpha] \in \hom(\mathbb{L}, G \wr \Sigma_m)_{/\sim}$ we take

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_m^{\phi}(f)([\alpha]) &= \mathbb{P}_m^{\phi}(f)(F([\alpha])) \\ &= \mathbb{P}_m^{\phi}(f)(\oplus_i(H_i, [\bar{\alpha}_i])). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.5. Each section $\phi \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Sub}(\mathbb{T}), \mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T}))$ gives a natural multiplicative transformation

$$\mathbb{P}_m^{\phi} \colon Cl_n(-, C_0) \Rightarrow Cl_n(- \wr \Sigma_m, C_0).$$

Proof. Multiplicativity follows from Proposition 5.2. Indeed, for $f, g \in Cl_n(G, C_0)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_i f^{\phi_H}([\alpha_i]) \prod_i g^{\phi_H}([\alpha_i]) &= \prod_i f^{\phi_H}([\alpha_i]) g^{\phi_H}([\alpha_i]) \\ &= \prod_i (fg)^{\phi_H}([\alpha_i]). \end{aligned}$$

A map of groups $K \xrightarrow{j} G$ induces a map on conjugacy classes $j_* \colon \hom(\mathbb{L}, K)_{/\sim} \longrightarrow \hom(\mathbb{L}, G)_{/\sim}$ and a restriction map $Cl_n(G, C_0) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}} Cl_n(H, C_0)$. We show that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} Cl_n(G, C_0) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}_m^{\phi}(G)"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Res}"] & Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_{p^k}, C_0) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Res}"] \\ Cl_n(K, C_0) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}_m^{\phi}(K)"] & Cl_n(K \wr \Sigma_{p^k}, C_0) \end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} K \wr \Sigma_{p^k} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G \wr \Sigma_{p^k} \arrow[d] \\ \Sigma_{p^k} \arrow[r, "="] & \Sigma_{p^k}. \end{tikzcd}$$

Thus

$$j_* \oplus_i (H_i, [\alpha_i]) = \oplus_i (H_i, j_*[\alpha_i]).$$

But now the result is clear.

□

Definition 5.6. The map

$$\mathbb{P}^{\phi}_m \colon Cl_n(-, C_0) \Rightarrow Cl_n(- \wr \Sigma_m, C_0)$$

is called the natural multiplicative transformation associated to $\phi \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Sub}(\mathbb{T}), \mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T}))$. When we want to specify the group and the subscript we will write $\mathbb{P}^{\phi}_m(G)$. When the subscript and group are clear from context, we may just write $\mathbb{P}^{\phi}$ for this map.

Remark 5.7. It is important to note that $\mathbb{P}^{\phi}_1$ is not necessarily the identity. It depends on the choice of automorphism $\phi_e \in \mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T})$.

Note that there is an action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$ on $\Gamma(\mathrm{Sub}(\mathbb{T}), \mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T}))$ given by multiplication on the left. For $\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$ and $\phi \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Sub}(\mathbb{T}), \mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T}))$ we have $(\gamma\phi)_H = \gamma(\phi_H) \colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$. This action is compatible with the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$ on class functions.

Proposition 5.8. For $\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$ and $\phi \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Sub}(\mathbb{T}), \mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T}))$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}^{\phi}_m(f^{\gamma}) = \mathbb{P}^{\gamma\phi}_m(f).$$

Proof. It suffices to evaluate on conjugacy classes. By multiplicativity it suffices to check on elements of $\mathrm{Sub}_m(\mathbb{T}, G)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}^{\phi}(f^{\gamma})(H, [\alpha]) &= \phi^*_H f^{\gamma}([\alpha\psi^*_H]) \\ &= \phi^*_H \gamma^* f([\alpha\psi^*_H\gamma^*]) \\ &= \mathbb{P}^{\gamma\phi}(f)(H, [\alpha]).\end{aligned}$$

□

6. A total power operation on class functions

In this section we study the basic properties of the multiplicative natural transformations from the previous section. Most importantly, we find that if ϕ satisfies a certain combinatorial identity then $\mathbb{P}^{\phi}$ makes $Cl_n(-, C_0)$ into a global power functor in the sense of [Gan]. We prove that there are sections ϕ satisfying this identity when $n = 1, 2$.

The functor $Cl_n(-, C_0)$ is a global Green functor in the sense of [Gan]. Transfers, restrictions, and their properties are discussed in Section 3 of [Gan] and in Theorem D of [HKR00]. If $K \subset G$, $f \in Cl_n(K, C_0)$, and $\alpha \colon \mathbb{L} \longrightarrow G$ then the formula for the transfer is

$$\mathrm{Tr}(f)([\alpha]) = \sum_{gK \in (G/K)^{\mathrm{im}\,\alpha}} f([g\alpha g^{-1}]).$$

We begin by proving an analogue of Proposition VIII.1.1 from [BMMS86].

Let $i, j \geq 0$ and consider the following maps:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla &\colon G \wr \Sigma_i \wr \Sigma_j \longrightarrow G \wr \Sigma_{ij}, \\ \Delta &\colon G \longrightarrow G \wr \Sigma_m, \\ \Delta_{i,j} &\colon G \wr (\Sigma_i \times \Sigma_j) \longrightarrow G \wr \Sigma_{i+j}, \\ \delta &\colon (G \times K) \wr \Sigma_m \longrightarrow (G \wr \Sigma_m) \times (K \wr \Sigma_m)\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Let $\mathrm{Tr}_{i,j}$ be the transfer along $\Sigma_i \times \Sigma_j \subset \Sigma_m$, where $i + j = m$.

Proposition 6.1. Let $\phi \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Sub}(\mathbb{T}), \mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T}))$, and $m, l \geq 0$, then $\mathbb{P}^{\phi}$ satisfies the following relations:

(1)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Cl_n(G,C_0) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}^{\phi}_m \times \mathbb{P}^{\phi}_l"] \arrow[d, "\mathbb{P}^{\phi}_{m+l}"'] & Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_m, C_0) \times Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_l, C_0) \arrow[d, "\times"] \\
Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_{m+l}, C_0) \arrow[r, "\Delta^*_{m,l}"] & Cl_n(G \wr (\Sigma_m \times \Sigma_l), C_0).
\end{tikzcd}$$

(2)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Cl_n(G,C_0) \times Cl_n(K,C_0) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}^{\phi}_m \times \mathbb{P}^{\phi}_m"] \arrow[dr, "\mathbb{P}^{\phi}_m"'] & Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_m, C_0) \times Cl_n(K \wr \Sigma_m, C_0) \arrow[d, "\delta^*"] \\
& Cl_n((G \times K) \wr \Sigma_m)
\end{tikzcd}$$

(3)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Cl_n(G,C_0) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}^{\phi}_m"] \arrow[dr, "(\mathbb{P}^{\phi}_1)^{\times m}"'] & Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_m, C_0) \arrow[d, "\Delta^*"] \\
& Cl_n(G^m, C_0)
\end{tikzcd}$$

(4)

$$\mathbb{P}^{\phi}_m(f_1+f_2) = \mathbb{P}^{\phi}_m(f_1) + \mathbb{P}^{\phi}_m(f_2) + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \mathrm{Tr}_{j,m-j}(\mathbb{P}^{\phi}_j(f_1) \times \mathbb{P}^{\phi}_{m-j}(f_2)).$$

Proof. These are all easy to prove. We elaborate on the last formula. Note that

$$\mathbb{P}^{\phi}_m(f_1+f_2)(\oplus_i(H_i,[\alpha_i])) = \prod_i (\phi^*_{H_i} f_1([\alpha\psi^*_{H_i}]) + \phi^*_{H_i} f_2([\alpha\psi^*_{H_i}]))$$

and expand this into a sum (without simplifying). Assume that the sum of subgroups is indexed by S so that

$$\oplus_i H_i = \underset{i \in S}{\oplus} H_i.$$

The formula is completely combinatorial. Let

$$Z_j = \{T \subset S | \sum_{i \in T} |H_i| = j\}.$$

By a standard argument the transfer equals

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{j,m-j}(\mathbb{P}^{\phi}_j(f_1) \times \mathbb{P}^{\phi}_{m-j}(f_2))(\underset{i \in S}{\oplus} H_i) = \sum_{T \in Z_j} \mathbb{P}^{\phi}_j(f_1)(\underset{i \in T}{\oplus}(H_i,[\alpha_i]))\mathbb{P}^{\phi}_{m-j}(f_2)(\underset{i \in S \setminus T}{\oplus}(H_i,[\alpha_i])).$$

As j varies this hits exactly the summands from the expanded sum. □

Let $J \subset Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_{p^k}, C_0)$ be the ideal generated by the image of the transfers (inductions) along the maps

$$G \wr (\Sigma_i \times \Sigma_j) \longrightarrow G \wr \Sigma_{p^k}$$

for $i, j > 0$ and $i + j = p^k$ and let $I \subset Cl_n(\Sigma_{p^k}, C_0)$ be the ideal generated by the image of the same transfer for $G = e$.

We now give a description of these ideals in the spirit of Section 3.

Proposition 6.2. The ideal J consists of the factors of

$$Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_{p^k}, C_0) = \prod_{\mathrm{Sum}_{p^k}(\mathbb{T},G)} C_0$$

corresponding to the sums with more than one summand.

Proof. This follows immediately from the formula for the transfer. If there is a lift

$$\begin{tikzcd} & G \wr (\Sigma_i \times \Sigma_j) \arrow[d] \\ \mathbb{L} \arrow[ur, dashed] \arrow[r, "\alpha"] & G \wr \Sigma_{p^k} \end{tikzcd}$$

up to conjugacy, then $F([\pi\alpha])$ must have more than one subgroup. Now the transfer of the characteristic class function concentrated on the lift generates the factor corresponding to $[\alpha]$. □

We define some simplifications of $\mathbb{P}^{\phi}$. Consider

$$\mathbb{P}^{\phi}/J \colon Cl_n(G, C_0) \longrightarrow Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_{p^k}, C_0)/J,$$

$$P^{\phi} \colon Cl_n(G, C_0) \longrightarrow Cl_n(G, C_0) \otimes_{C_0} Cl_n(\Sigma_{p^k}, C_0),$$

and

$$P^{\phi}/I \colon Cl_n(G, C_0) \longrightarrow Cl_n(G, C_0) \otimes_{C_0} Cl_n(\Sigma_{p^k}, C_0)/I.$$

Corollary 6.3. The maps $\mathbb{P}^{\phi}/J$ and P^{ϕ}/I are both ring maps.

Remark 6.4. Both $\mathbb{P}^{\phi}/J$ and P^{ϕ}/I are the rationalization of maps between products of the Drinfeld ring D_∞.

Example 6.5. We compute the effect of $P^{\phi}_{p^k}/I$ on $Cl_n(G, C_0)$. Using Proposition 3.7 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} P^{\phi}/I(f)(H, [\alpha]) &= \mathbb{P}^{\phi}(f)(H, [\alpha q_H^*]) \\ &= f^{\phi_H}([\alpha q_H^*]) \\ &= \phi_H^* f([\alpha q_H^* \psi_H^*]) \\ &= \phi_H^* f([\alpha \phi_H^*]). \end{aligned}$$

Example 6.6. We can do even more when $m = p^{kn}$. If we choose ϕ to take $\mathbb{T}[p^k]$ to $[p^k] \colon \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}$, then we may compose $P^{\phi}_{p^{kn}}/I$ further with the map

$$Cl_n(\Sigma_{p^{kn}}, C_0) \longrightarrow C_0$$

projecting onto the factor corresponding to $\mathbb{T}[p^k]$. This gives a map

$$\psi^{p^k} \colon Cl_n(G, C_0) \longrightarrow Cl_n(G, C_0)$$

known as the p^kth Adams operation. The formula for it can be derived from the previous example:

$$P^{\phi}_{p^{kn}}/I(f)(\mathbb{T}[p^k], [\alpha]) = f([\alpha \phi^*_{\mathbb{T}[p^k]}]).$$

Thus this map is induced by the map on conjugacy classes $\hom(\mathbb{L}, G)_{/\sim} \longrightarrow \hom(\mathbb{L}, G)_{/\sim}$ sending

$$[\alpha \colon \mathbb{L} \to G] \mapsto [\mathbb{L} \xrightarrow{p^k} \mathbb{L} \xrightarrow{\alpha} G],$$

recovering the usual formula at height 1.

We see that we have analogues of all of the parts of Proposition VIII.1.1 from [BMMS86] except part (ii). This is the fundamental relation that a global power functor satisfies (see Section 4 in [Gan]). Now we classify the sections ϕ that give rise to a multiplicative natural transformation that does satisfy this relation.

Definition 6.7. A section $\phi \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Sub}(\mathbb{T}), \mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T}))$ is a *power section* if for all $H \subset T \subset \mathbb{T}$

$$\phi_T = \phi_{T/H}\phi_H,$$

where $T/H = \phi_H(T)$.

Proposition 6.8. The multiplicative natural transformation $\mathbb{P}^{\phi}$ is a global power functor if and only if ϕ is a power section.

Proof. Assume ϕ is a power section. We need to check that a certain diagram commutes. Let $l + t = k$, we must show that

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Cl_n(G, C_0) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}^{\phi}_{p^k}"] \arrow[d, "\mathbb{P}^{\phi}_{p^l}"] & Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_{p^k}, C_0) \arrow[d, "\nabla^*"] \\
Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_{p^l}, C_0) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}^{\phi}_{p^t}"] & Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_{p^l} \wr \Sigma_{p^t}, C_0)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

We will use Proposition 3.8 to describe a conjugacy class in the target of the diagram. Recall that $T_{i,j}$ fits into the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T_{i,j} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{T} \arrow[r, "\phi_{H_i}"] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{T} \\
K_j \arrow[r] & \mathbb{T}/H_i. \arrow[ur, "\psi_{H_i}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Now we may use our formula to go around both ways. Let $f \in Cl_n(G, C_0)$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}^{\phi}_{p^t}(\mathbb{P}^{\phi}_{p^l}(f))(\oplus_i(H_i, \oplus_j(K_j, [(\bar{\alpha_i})_j]))) &= \prod_i \mathbb{P}^{\phi}_{p^l}(f)^{\phi_{H_i}}(\oplus_j(K_j, [(\bar{\alpha_i})_j])) \\
&= \prod_i \phi^*_{H_i}\mathbb{P}^{\phi}_{p^l}(f)((\oplus_j(K_j, [(\bar{\alpha_i})_j]))\psi^*_{H_i}) \\
&= \prod_i \phi^*_{H_i}\mathbb{P}^{\phi}_{p^l}(f)((\oplus_j(\psi_{H_i}(K_j), [(\bar{\alpha_i})_j\psi^*_{H_i}|_{\mathbb{L}_{\psi_{H_i}(K_j)}}]))) \\
&= \prod_i \phi^*_{H_i}\mathbb{P}^{\phi}_{p^l}(f)((\oplus_j(\phi_{H_i}(T_{i,j}), [(\bar{\alpha_i})_j\psi^*_{H_i}|_{\mathbb{L}_{\psi_{H_i}(K_j)}}]))) \\
&= \prod_i\prod_j \phi^*_{H_i}(f)^{\phi_{T_{i,j}/H_i}}((([(\bar{\alpha_i})_j\psi^*_{H_i}|_{\mathbb{L}_{\psi_{H_i}(K_j)}}])) \\
&= \prod_i\prod_j \phi^*_{H_i}\phi^*_{T_{i,j}/H_i}f([(\bar{\alpha_i})_j\psi^*_{H_i}|_{\mathbb{L}_{\psi_{H_i}(K_j)}}\psi^*_{T_{i,j}/H_i}]) \\
&= \prod_i\prod_j \phi^*_{T_{i,j}}f([(\bar{\alpha_i})_j\psi^*_{T_{i,j}}]) \\
&= \mathbb{P}^{\phi}_{p^k}(f)(\oplus_{i,j}(T_{i,j}, [(\bar{\alpha_i})_j])).
\end{aligned}$$

Going from the second to third line of the equalities we have used a slight variation on Proposition 3.9. Everything else is just a matter of applying definitions.

After seeing this proof, the reverse implication is clear. □

Example 6.9. When $n = 1$ there is an obvious power section. For $\mathbb{Z}/p^k \subset \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ we let $\phi_{\mathbb{Z}/p^k}$ be the multiplication by p^k map on $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$.

The authors have been unable to find a general method for producing power sections for all n. We now turn to the case of $n = 2$, which is important for applications to elliptic cohomology. We write elements of $\mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p^2)$ as matrices with entries in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, but view these as acting on $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p^2$.

Proposition 6.10. Associated to each $H \in \mathrm{Sub}_p(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p^2)$ it is possible to choose a matrix ϕ_H such that

$$\phi_H^2 = [p] = \begin{bmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\phi_H(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p^2[p]) = H.$$

Proof. Associate to the subgroup generated by $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{i}{p})$ the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} -i & 1 \\ p - i^2 & i \end{bmatrix}$$

and to the subgroup generated by $(0, \frac{1}{p})$ the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & p \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

□

Remark 6.11. The conditions of the proposition were not pulled from thin air. They came out of trying to understand the behavior of the groups of order p inside of $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p^2[p]$.

Let us fix endomorphisms for each subgroup of order p as in the above proposition and let N be the submonoid of $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{Z}_p^2)$ generated by these endomorphisms.

Proposition 6.12. Let $H \subset \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ with $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p^2[p^k] \subset H$ and $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p^2[p^{k+1}] \not\subseteq H$. Now if

$$H = \ker(\phi_{K_i} \cdots \phi_{K_1}) \qquad (\text{i.e., } |H| = p^i)$$

then

$$[p^k] | \phi_{K_i} \cdots \phi_{K_1}$$

in M, where K_i denotes a subgroup of order p.

Proof. We prove this by induction on the order of H. We may assume that $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p^2[p^k] \not\subseteq H_1 = \ker(\phi_{K_{i-1}} \cdots \phi_{K_1})$. If not, then we are done by induction on the order. For instance, this immediately takes care of cyclic groups and this is not surprising because the matrix associated to a cyclic group has a unique factorization in N.

Thus we are in the situation where $H \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^k \times \mathbb{Z}/p^j$ with $k \leq j$ and $H_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^{k-1} \times \mathbb{Z}/p^j$. By induction we may rewrite

$$\phi_{K_{i-1}} \cdots \phi_{K_1} = [p^{k-1}]\phi_{K_{l_m}} \cdots \phi_{K_{l_1}}.$$

By definition

$$[p^{k-1}]\phi_{K_{l_s}} \cdots \phi_{K_{l_1}}(H) = \phi_{K_{l_s}}[p^{k-1}]\phi_{K_{l_{s-1}}} \cdots \phi_{k_{l_1}}(H) = K_i.$$

Now since $H \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^k \times \mathbb{Z}/p^j$ where $k \leq j$ and $H_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^{k-1} \times \mathbb{Z}/p^j$, we must have that $\phi^{-1}_{K_{l_s}}(K_i) = \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p^2[p]$ (if $[p^{k-1}]\phi_{K_{l_{s-1}}} \cdots \phi_{k_{l_1}}(H) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^2$ then the p^k-torsion in H will not be the right thing), but this implies that

$$K_i = \phi_{K_{l_s}}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p^2[p]) = K_{l_s}.$$

So $\phi_{K_i} = \phi_{K_{l_s}}$ and $[p^k] | \phi_{K_i} \cdots \phi_{K_1}$. □

With this in hand we can prove the result for $n = 2$.

Proposition 6.13. For each choice of endomorphisms for subgroups of order p in $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ satisfying Proposition 6.10 there is a section ϕ such that for $K \subset H$

$$\phi_H = \phi_{H/K}\phi_K,$$

where $H/K = \phi_K(H)$.

Proof. Let $H \subset \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ and $\phi_{K_i} \cdots \phi_{K_1}$ and $\phi_{K_i'} \cdots \phi_{K_1'}$ be two decompositions corresponding to two composition series for H. Assume $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p^2[p^k] \subset H$ and $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p^2[p^{k+1}] \not\subseteq H$, then

$$\phi_{K_i} \cdots \phi_{K_1} = \phi_{K_{l_s}} \cdots \phi_{K_{l_1}}[p^k]$$

and

$$\phi_{K_i'} \cdots \phi_{K_1'} = \phi_{K_{l_s}'} \cdots \phi_{K_{l_1}'}[p^k].$$

We have that $[p^k]H \cong H/[p^k]H$ is cyclic and thus admits a unique decomposition. So we must have the equality

$$\phi_{K_{l_s}} \cdots \phi_{K_{l_1}} = \phi_{K_{l_s}'} \cdots \phi_{K_{l_1}'}.$$

In fact, we have $\phi_{K_{l_j}} = \phi_{K_{l_j}'}$. □

7. Recollections on Morava E-theory

In this section we recall the facts that we need about Morava E-theory before we can proceed. For any space X we set

$$E_n(X) = E_n^0(X).$$

By Goerss, Hopkins, Miller [GH04] there is a unique E_∞-structure on E_n. In the homotopy category this gives rise to total power operations. The total power operations are natural multiplicative non-additive maps

$$\mathbb{P}_m \colon E_n(X) \longrightarrow E_n(E\Sigma_m \times_{\Sigma_m} X^m)$$

for all m that satisfy some fundamental relations.

A common simplification of $\mathbb{P}$ is given by restriction along the diagonal $X \xrightarrow{\Delta} X^m$. This gives a map

$$P \colon E_n(X) \longrightarrow E_n(B\Sigma_m \times X) \cong E_n(B\Sigma_m) \otimes_{E_n} E_n(X),$$

the isomorphism being a consequence of the freeness of $E_n(B\Sigma_m)$ over E_n. Let $J \subset E_n^*(E\Sigma_{p^k} \times_{\Sigma_{p^k}} X^{p^k})$ be the ideal generated by the image of the transfers along the maps

$$E(\Sigma_i \times \Sigma_j) \times_{(\Sigma_i \times \Sigma_j)} X^{p^k} \longrightarrow E\Sigma_{p^k} \times_{\Sigma_{p^k}} X^{p^k}$$

for $i, j > 0$ and $i + j = p^k$ and let $I \subset E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k})$ be the ideal generated by the image of the same transfer for $X = *$. Neither $\mathbb{P}$ or P are additive, but they can both be made so by taking the quotient by J and I, respectively. Thus we have ring maps

$$\mathbb{P}/J \colon E_n(X) \longrightarrow E_n(E\Sigma_{p^k} \times_{\Sigma_{p^k}} X^{p^k})/J$$

and

$$P/I\colon E_n(X) \longrightarrow E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k} \times X) \cong E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k})/I \otimes_{E_n} E_n(X).$$

Let us call $\mathbb{P}/J$ the additive total power operation. For $m \neq p^k$ these ring maps are uninteresting. We will be applying these power operations to $X = BG$; in this case

$$E\Sigma_m \times_{\Sigma_m} X^m \simeq BG \wr \Sigma_m.$$

Recall the maps of Equation 1 from Section 6. The relations that we will need are the following:

Proposition 7.1. For any $x \in E_n(X)$ and $i, j, m \geq 0$, we have:

1. $\nabla^*\mathbb{P}_{ij}(x) = \mathbb{P}_i\mathbb{P}_j(x)$,
2. $\Delta^*\mathbb{P}_m(x) = x^m$,
3. $\Delta^*_{i,j}\mathbb{P}_{i+j}(x) = \delta^*(\mathbb{P}_i(x)\mathbb{P}_j(x))$.

Proof. The proof can be found in Chapter VIII from [BMMS86]. □

Corollary 7.2 (Proposition VIII.1.1, [BMMS86].)**.** There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & E_n(BG) \arrow[rr, "\mathbb{P}_{p^k}"] \arrow[d, "\mathbb{P}_{p^{k-1}}"] & & E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_{p^k}) \arrow[d, "\nabla^*"] & \\
 & E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_{p^{k-1}}) \arrow[rr, "\mathbb{P}_p"] & & E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_{p^{k-1}} \wr \Sigma_p) \arrow[r] & E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_{p^{k-1}}^p)
\end{tikzcd}$$

and the formula for the composite is just $x \mapsto \mathbb{P}_{p^{k-1}}(x) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}_{p^{k-1}}(x)$.

Proof. The result follows immediately from Proposition 7.1(1) and (3). □

Character theory for Morava E-theory was constructed in [HKR00]. Hopkins, Kuhn, and Ravenel define the ring C_0, which is introduced in Section 4, and produce a natural character map

$$\chi\colon E_n(BG) \longrightarrow Cl_n(G, C_0)$$

with the property that the induced map

$$C_0 \otimes_{E_n} E_n(BG) \xrightarrow{\cong} Cl_n(G, C_0)$$

is an isomorphism. They produce the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$ on $Cl_n(G, C_0)$ described in Proposition 4.1 and prove further that the above isomorphism induces an isomorphism

$$p^{-1}E_n(BG) \xrightarrow{\cong} Cl_n(G, C_0)^{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})}.$$

Theorem D in [HKR00] discusses the relationship between the character map and transfer maps for E_n and class functions. For $H \subset G$ there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_n(BH) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Tr}"] \arrow[d, "\chi"'] & E_n(BG) \arrow[d, "\chi"] \\
Cl_n(H, C_0) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Tr}"] & Cl_n(G, C_0).
\end{tikzcd}$$

There is a close relationship between the E-theory of finite groups and algebraic geometry related to $\mathbb{G}$. We will freely use several of these results, and refer the interested reader to [HKR00, Str98, SS14] for the details.

Let $\hom(A^*, \mathbb{G})$ be the scheme of maps from A^* to $\mathbb{G}$ and define $\mathrm{Sub}_{p^k}(\mathbb{G})$ to be the scheme of subgroups of order p^k of $\mathbb{G}$, sending an E_n-algebra R to the collection of subgroups

$H \subseteq R \otimes \mathbb{G}$ of order p^k. Moreover, for $A^* \subseteq \mathbb{T}'$ a finite abelian group, $\mathrm{Sub}^{A^*}_{p^k|A|}(\mathbb{G} \oplus \mathbb{T}')$ denotes the scheme with underlying functor

$$R \mapsto \{H \subseteq R \otimes \mathbb{G} \oplus \mathbb{T}' \mid |H| = p^k|A|,\ \mathrm{pr}(H) = A^*\}$$

for an E_n-algebra R, where pr is induced by the natural projection $\mathbb{G} \oplus \mathbb{T}' \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}'$. We often write $p^{k'} = p^k|A|$. The following table provides the dictionary we need.

Topology	Algebraic geometry
$E_n(BA)$	$\hom(A^*, \mathbb{G})$
$E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k})/I$	$\mathrm{Sub}_{p^k}(\mathbb{G})$
$E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J$	$\mathrm{Sub}^{A^*}_{p^{k'}}(\mathbb{G} \oplus \mathbb{T}')$

8. The additive total power operation applied to abelian groups

Work of Ando [And95] and Ando, Hopkins, and Strickland [AHS04] gives an algebro-geometric description of the additive power operation P/I applied to abelian groups. In this section we recall their result and prove an extension, which is a key step in the proof of the main theorem.

Lemma 8.1. There is an induced map

$$E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J \longrightarrow E_n(BG) \otimes_{E_n} E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k})/I.$$

Proof. The claim reduces to checking that the following commutative diagram is a homotopy pullback for any i, j with $i + j = p^k$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
BG \times \Sigma_i \times \Sigma_j \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & BG \wr (\Sigma_i \times \Sigma_j) \arrow[d] \\
BG \times \Sigma_{p^k} \arrow[r] & BG \wr \Sigma_{p^k}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since the square is clearly commutative, it suffices to show that $BG \times \Sigma_i \times \Sigma_j$ has the correct homotopy type. This is obvious for π_k, $k > 1$. To see that the pullback is connected, simply note that every element $g = (g_1, \ldots, g_{p^k}; \sigma) \in G \wr \Sigma_{p^k}$ can be factored as $(1, \ldots, 1; \sigma) \circ (g_1, \ldots, g_{p^k}; e)$, where $(1, \ldots, 1; \sigma) \in G \times \Sigma_{p^k}$ and $(g_1, \ldots, g_{p^k}; e) \in G \wr (\Sigma_i \times \Sigma_j)$. Finally, π_1 of the pullback is given by the intersection of $G \times \Sigma_{p^k}$ with $G \wr (\Sigma_i \times \Sigma_j)$ inside $G \wr \Sigma_{p^k}$, which clearly is $G \times \Sigma_i \times \Sigma_j$. $\square$

There are two E_n-algebra structures on $E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k})/I$ of interest to us, given by two maps: the standard inclusion i induced by $B\Sigma_{p^k} \longrightarrow *$ and the power operation $P/I \colon E_n \to E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k})/I$. Using Lemma 8.1, we thus obtain a commutative diagram of rings:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_n(BA) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}/J"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "P/I"] \arrow[dr] & E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J \arrow[r] & E_n(BA) \otimes^i_{E_n} E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k})/I \\
& E_n(BA) \otimes^{P/I}_{E_n} E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k})/I \arrow[u] \arrow[ur] &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2}$$

where the superscripts on the tensor product indicate the relevant E_n-algebra structure on $E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k})/I$.

Remark 8.2. In our notation, A plays a very different role than in the setting of [AHS04]. Indeed, they consider a level structure in place of our Σ_{p^k} and S^1 instead of our A; the translation is readily made by passing to torsion subgroups and using arbitrary subgroups, see [AHS04, Remark 3.12].

Proposition 8.3 (Ando–Hopkins–Strickland)**.** The additive power operation

$$E_n(BA) \xrightarrow{P/I} E_n(BA) \otimes^i_{E_n} E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k})/I$$

is the ring of functions on the map

$$\hom(A^*, \mathbb{G}) \times \mathrm{Sub}_{p^k}(\mathbb{G}) \longrightarrow \hom(A^*, \mathbb{G})$$

induced by

$$(f\colon A^* \to R \otimes \mathbb{G}, H \subset R \otimes \mathbb{G}) \mapsto (A^* \xrightarrow{f} R \otimes \mathbb{G} \longrightarrow (R \otimes \mathbb{G})/H \cong R\ {}^{P/I}\!\otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G})$$

Proof. This is proven topologically in [And95, 4.2.5] and [AHS04, 3.21]. Here, we give an alternative algebro-geometric argument, using a useful result from the theory of p-divisible groups.

First, observe that it is enough to prove the claim for A a finite cyclic group. The additive total power operation extends to a map of $E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k})/I$-algebras $E_n(BA) \otimes^{\mathbb{P}}_{E_n} E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k})/I \longrightarrow E_n(BA) \otimes^i_{E_n} E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k})/I$. Geometrically, this map corresponds to a map of p-divisible groups over $\mathrm{Spf}(E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k})/I)$, and we would like to compare this map to the natural isogeny with kernel H. However, by [CCO14, 1.4.2.3], passing to the special fiber gives a faithful functor from p-divisible groups over the complete local noetherian ring $E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k})/I$ to the category of p-divisible groups over its residue field, so it suffices to show the claim modulo the maximal ideal. This is now obvious, since both maps are given simply by $x \mapsto x^{p^k}$. □

Fix a map $\mathbb{Z}_p^t = \mathbb{L}' \to A$ with A a finite abelian, and let $\mathbb{T}' = (\mathbb{L}')^*$. Let $p^{k'} = p^k|A|$. Recall from [SS14] that there is a formal scheme $\mathrm{Sub}^{A^*}_{p^{k'}}(\mathbb{G} \oplus \mathbb{T}')$ that associates to any E_n-algebra R the collection of subgroups $H \subset R \otimes (\mathbb{G} \oplus \mathbb{T}')$ of order $p^{k'}$ which project onto A^* via the natural map $\mathbb{G} \oplus \mathbb{T}' \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}'$. The main result of [SS14] implies that the ring of functions on $\mathrm{Sub}^{A^*}_{p^{k'}}(\mathbb{G} \oplus \mathbb{T}')$ is isomorphic to $E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J$. Our extension of Proposition 8.3 can now be stated as follows.

Theorem 8.4. The additive total power operation modulo the transfer

$$E_n(BA) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}/J} E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J$$

is the ring of functions on the map

$$\mathbb{Q}^*\colon\ \mathrm{Sub}^{A^*}_{p^{k'}}(\mathbb{G} \oplus \mathbb{T}') \longrightarrow \hom(A^*, \mathbb{G})$$

given by

$$(H \subset R \otimes (\mathbb{G} \oplus \mathbb{T}')) \mapsto (A^* \longrightarrow R \otimes \mathbb{G}/K \cong R\ {}^{P/I}\!\otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G}),$$

where K is the kernel in the map of short exact sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "="'] & H \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & A^* \arrow[d] \\
K \arrow[r] & \mathbb{G} \arrow[r] & \mathbb{G}/K
\end{tikzcd}$$

and H maps to $\mathbb{G}$ through the projection $\mathbb{G} \oplus \mathbb{T}' \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{G}$.

Thus the goal of the theorem is to show that two maps between $E_n(BA)$ and $E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J$ are in fact the same map. The map $\mathbb{Q}$ is defined algebro-geometrically and the map $\mathbb{P}/J$ is the additive total power operation. By Proposition 8.3, we do know that the maps are equal after mapping further to $E_n(BA) \otimes_{E_n} E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k})/I$. But the map

$$E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J \longrightarrow E_n(BA) \otimes_{E_n} E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k})/I$$

is not injective in general. The proof will proceed by building a ring that $E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J$ does inject into and that can be attacked using Proposition 8.3.

Before giving the proof, we draw a consequence that is of interest in its own right.

Corollary 8.5. The map

$$E_n(BA) \otimes_{E_n}^{P/I} E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k})/I \longrightarrow E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J$$

given in (2) is an isomorphism.

Proof. In this proof, all schemes will be considered over $\mathrm{Sub}_{p^k}(\mathbb{G})$, so $\mathrm{Spf}(E_n(BA) \otimes_{E_n}^{P/I} E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k})/I) = \hom(A^*, \mathbb{G}/U)$, where U is the universal subgroup of $\mathbb{G}$ of order p^k. It was observed in Proposition 6.5 of [SS14] that there is an isomorphism of schemes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Sub}_{p^{k'}}^{A^*}(\mathbb{G} \oplus \mathbb{T}') \arrow[rr, "\cong"] \arrow[dr] & & \hom(A^*, \mathbb{G}/U) \arrow[dl] \\
& \mathrm{Sub}_{p^k}(\mathbb{G}). &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The inverse is given by the pullback

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{G} \oplus \mathbb{T}' \arrow[d] \\
A^* \arrow[r] & \mathbb{G}/U \oplus \mathbb{T}'.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Theorem 8.4 implies that $\mathbb{P}/J \otimes_{E_n}^{P/I} E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k})/I$ of Diagram 2 is precisely this isomorphism. □

The idea of the proof of Theorem 8.4 is to reduce the claim to the result of Ando–Hopkins–Strickland, by probing $E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J$ by an appropriate family of abelian subgroups $\mathcal{F}(A \wr \Sigma_{p^k})$ of $A \wr \Sigma_{p^k}$ which captures all of the transitive conjugacy classes. This is sufficient because $E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J$ is a finitely generated free E_n-module by Proposition 5.3 in [SS14]. For each of the abelian subgroups $M \in \mathcal{F}(A \wr \Sigma_{p^k})$ we show how the composite

$$E_n(BA) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}/J} E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J \longrightarrow E_n(BM)/I_M$$

can be attacked using Proposition 8.3, where I_M is the ideal in $E_n(BM)$ generated by the image of the transfer along all proper subgroups of M. This ring has been studied in [AHS04] and is very closely related to M-level structures on $\mathbb{G}$.

Definition 8.6. For each $[\alpha] \in \hom(\mathbb{L}, A \wr \Sigma_{p^k})^{\mathrm{trans}}_{/\sim}$, choose a representative that satisfies Corollary 3.5. Let $\mathcal{F}(A \wr \Sigma_{p^k})$ be the set of images of these representatives.

By definition these subgroups fit into the commutative diagram

(3)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
K \arrow[r, "\iota"] \arrow[d, "="'] & M \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, "\pi\alpha"] & \\
K \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & K \wr \Sigma_{p^k} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \Sigma_{p^k} \arrow[d, "="] \\
A \arrow[r] & A \wr \Sigma_{p^k} \arrow[r] & \Sigma_{p^k},
\end{tikzcd}$$

where K denotes the pullback or, equivalently, the kernel of $\pi\alpha$.

Lemma 8.7. There exists an injective map $E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J \longrightarrow \prod_{M \in \mathcal{F}(A\wr\Sigma_{p^k})} E_n(BM)/I_M$.

Proof. We first need to show that the map exists, which is proven as in Lemma 8.1 using the fact that M is a transitive subgroup. Since $E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J$ is a finitely generated free E_n-module we may check this after applying the character map. This allows us to check the claim on class functions, where it follows immediately from the construction of $\mathcal{F}(A \wr \Sigma_{p^k})$. □

There are two natural maps that we may now consider between M and $M \wr \Sigma_{p^k}$. The first is the composite

$$M \hookrightarrow K \wr \Sigma_{p^k} \hookrightarrow M \wr \Sigma_{p^k}$$

and the second is the composite

$$M \xrightarrow{id\times\pi\alpha} M \times \Sigma_{p^k} \xrightarrow{\Delta} M \wr \Sigma_{p^k}.$$

Applying E-theory to these maps gives the same map because they are conjugate.

Lemma 8.8. The square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_n(BM \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E_n(BM) \otimes^{i}_{E_n} E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k})/I \arrow[d] \\
E_n(BK \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J \arrow[r] & E_n(BM)/I_M
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes, where the maps are induced by the maps described just above.

Proof. We show that the two maps $M \rightrightarrows M \wr \Sigma_{p^k}$ are conjugate. Since the projections onto Σ_{p^k} are clearly the same, it would suffice to show that the kernel K factors through $K \xrightarrow{\Delta} K \wr \Sigma_{p^k}$ by the identity map in each case. But this follows from the commutativity of the following two diagrams:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K \arrow[r, "\iota"] \arrow[dd, "\iota"'] & M \arrow[d] \arrow[ddr] & \\
 & M \times \Sigma_{p^k} \arrow[d] & \\
M \arrow[r, "\Delta"] & M \wr \Sigma_{p^k} \arrow[r] & \Sigma_{p^k}
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
K \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "="] \arrow[dd, bend right, "\iota"'] & M \arrow[d] \arrow[ddr] & \\
K \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & K \wr \Sigma_{p^k} \arrow[d] \arrow[dr] & \\
M \arrow[r, "\Delta"] & M \wr \Sigma_{p^k} \arrow[r] & \Sigma_{p^k}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Thus we have a commutative diagram in E-theory without taking any quotients and we may take the quotient to get the square in the statement of the lemma. ☐

We are now ready to put the pieces together to prove the main theorem of this section.

Proof of Theorem 8.4. By Lemma 8.7, we may reduce to showing that the following two composites coincide

$$\begin{tikzcd} E_n(BA) \arrow[r, shift left, "\mathbb{P}/J"] \arrow[r, shift right, "\mathbb{Q}"'] & E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J \arrow[r, hook] & \prod_{M \in \mathcal{F}(A \wr \Sigma_{p^k})} E_n(BM)/I_M. \end{tikzcd}$$

We will prove this one factor at a time. Let $M \in \mathcal{F}(A \wr \Sigma_{p^k})$ and consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} E_n(BM) \arrow[r, shift left, "\mathbb{P}/J"] \arrow[r, shift right, "\mathbb{Q}"'] \arrow[d] & E_n(BM \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E_n(BM) \otimes^i_{E_n} E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k})/I \arrow[d] \\ E_n(BK) \arrow[r, shift left, "\mathbb{P}/J"] \arrow[r, shift right, "\mathbb{Q}"'] & E_n(BK \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J \arrow[r] & E_n(BM)/I_M \\ E_n(BA) \arrow[r, shift left, "\mathbb{P}/J"] \arrow[r, shift right, "\mathbb{Q}"'] \arrow[u] & E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J. \arrow[u] \arrow[ur] & \end{tikzcd}$$

The diagram commutes, where the $\mathbb{Q}$'s commute with $\mathbb{Q}$'s and the $\mathbb{P}/J$'s commute with $\mathbb{P}/J$'s; for the top right square this follows from Lemma 8.8. The composites on the top row are equal by Proposition 8.3. The surjective arrow implies that the middle two composites are equal. But now that these are equal, the composites from $E_n(BA)$ to $E_n(BM)/I_M$ must be equal. This proves the claim. ☐

9. The character of the total power operation

In this section we establish the importance of the multiplicative natural transformations constructed in Section 5. Fix $\phi \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Sub}(\mathbb{T}), \mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T}))$. The purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 9.1. Let $\mathbb{P}\colon E_n(BG) \longrightarrow E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_m)$ be the total power operation for Morava E_n applied to BG, and let $\chi\colon E_n(BG) \longrightarrow Cl_n(G, C_0)$ be the character map. For all $n \geq 0$ and all k there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} E_n(BG) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}"] \arrow[d, "\chi"'] & E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_m) \arrow[d, "\chi"] \\ Cl_n(G, C_0) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}^{\phi}"] & Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_m, C_0) \end{tikzcd}$$

which is natural in G.

We will prove the theorem in three steps. First, our extension of the work of Ando, Hopkins, and Strickland in Theorem 8.4 can be used to prove the theorem for the additive total power operation applied to finite abelian groups. Then a variation on the fact that $E_n(BG)$ rationally embeds under the restriction map into $\prod_{A \subseteq G} E_n(BA)$ extends this to all finite groups. Finally, an inductive argument using character theory extends the result to the total power operation from the additive total power operation.

Proposition 9.2. For A a finite abelian group the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} E_n(BA) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}/J"] \arrow[d] & E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J \arrow[d] \\ Cl_n(A, C_0) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}^{\phi}/J"] & Cl_n(A \wr \Sigma_{p^k}, C_0)/J \end{tikzcd} \tag{4}$$

commutes.

Proof. The terminal object in the square is the product (Proposition 6.2)

$$Cl_n(A \wr \Sigma_{p^k}, C_0)/J = \prod_{\mathrm{Sub}_{p^k}(\mathbb{T}, A)} C_0.$$

Thus it suffices to fix an element $(H, [\alpha]) \in \mathrm{Sub}_{p^k}(\mathbb{T}, A)$ and prove the result for the factor corresponding to $(H, [\alpha])$. Since $\mathbb{P}^{\phi}/J$ is the rationalization of a map between products of the Drinfeld ring D_∞ by Remark 6.4, it suffices to replace C_0 with D_∞. Now a map of complete local rings $D_\infty \longrightarrow R$ (recall that D_∞ is a colimit of complete local rings) out of the factor corresponding to $(H, [\alpha])$ is the data

$$(H \subset \mathbb{T}, \alpha\colon \mathbb{L}_H \longrightarrow A, \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow R \otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G}). \tag{5}$$

Note that, since A is abelian, $\alpha = [\alpha]$ and that we may replace α by

$$\alpha^*\colon A^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}/H.$$

Let $\mathbb{L}' = \mathbb{Z}_p^t$ where t is greater than or equal to the number of generators of A and let $\mathbb{L}' \twoheadrightarrow A$ be a surjection. Let $(\mathbb{L}')^* = \mathbb{T}'$. By the algebro-geometric description of $E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J$ in [SS14], the right vertical map in (4) sends the data in (5) to the pullback

$$\begin{tikzcd} B \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{G} \oplus \mathbb{T}' \arrow[d] \\ A^* \arrow[r] & (R \otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G})/H \oplus \mathbb{T}'. \end{tikzcd}$$

The top horizontal map sends this data to the composite $A^* \longrightarrow (R \otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G})/H \cong R^{Q_H} \otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G}$ by Proposition 8.3.

Going around the other way first sends the data to the pair of composites

$$(A^* \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} \mathbb{T}/H \xrightarrow{\psi_H} \mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T} \xrightarrow{\psi_H^{-1}} \mathbb{T}/H \longrightarrow R^{\,Q_H} \otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G}).$$

and then composes them to give

$$A^* \longrightarrow R^{\,Q_H} \otimes_{E_n} \mathbb{G}$$

which is the same as the other map. □

Lemma 9.3. There is an embedding

$$p^{-1}E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J \hookrightarrow \prod_{A \subset G} p^{-1}E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J.$$

Proof. Since C_0 is a faithfully flat $p^{-1}E_n$-algebra it suffices to check this on class functions. Thus the claim is equivalent to there being a surjection of sets

$$\coprod_{A \subset G} \mathrm{Sub}_{p^k}(\mathbb{T}, A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sub}_{p^k}(\mathbb{T}, G).$$

This is a surjection because any map $\mathbb{L}_H \longrightarrow G$ factors through its image which is an abelian subgroup of G. $\square$

Proposition 9.4. For G a finite group the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_n(BG) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}/J"] \arrow[d] & E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J \arrow[d] \\
Cl_n(G, C_0) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}^{\phi}/J"] & Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_{p^k}, C_0)/J
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

Proof. The map from the top arrow to the bottom arrow factors through the rationalization because $\mathbb{P}/J$ is a ring map and C_0 is a rational algebra. It suffices to consider the following cube

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & p^{-1}E_n(BG) \arrow[rr] \arrow[dl, "\mathbb{P}/J"'] \arrow[dd] & & \prod\limits_{A \subset G} p^{-1}E_n(BA) \arrow[dl, "\prod \mathbb{P}/J"] \arrow[dd] \\
p^{-1}E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J \arrow[rr] \arrow[dd] & & \prod\limits_{A \subset G} p^{-1}E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J \arrow[dd] & \\
 & Cl_n(G, C_0) \arrow[rr] \arrow[dl, "\mathbb{P}^{\phi}/J"'] & & \prod\limits_{A \subset G} Cl_n(A, C_0) \arrow[dl, "\prod \mathbb{P}^{\phi}/J"] \\
Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J \arrow[rr] & & \prod\limits_{A \subset G} Cl_n(A \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The top and bottom squares commute by naturality, the back and front squares commute by character theory (Theorems C and D in [HKR00]), and the right square commutes by Proposition 9.2. Now, since the horizontal maps are injections, the left square must commute. $\square$

Proposition 9.5. For all $k > 0$ there is an injection

$$Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_{p^k}, C_0) \hookrightarrow (Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_{p^k}, C_0)/J) \times (Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_{p^{k-1}}^p, C_0)),$$

where the map to the left factor is the quotient and the map to the right factor is given by restriction.

Proof. It is just a matter of checking this on conjugacy classes. Every element of $\mathrm{Sub}_{p^k}(\mathbb{T}, G) \subset \mathrm{Sum}_{p^k}(\mathbb{T}, G)$ is hit by the left factor. The map to the other factor is induced by the map

$$\prod_p \mathrm{Sum}_{p^{k-1}}(\mathbb{T}, G) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Sum}_{p^k}(\mathbb{T}, G) \setminus \mathrm{Sub}_{p^k}(\mathbb{T}, G)$$

sending

$$\prod_{l=1\ldots p} \bigoplus_{i=1\ldots j_l} (H_{i,l}, [\alpha_{i,l}]) \mapsto \bigoplus_{l} \bigoplus_{i=1\ldots j_l} (H_{i,l}, [\alpha_{i,l}])$$

which is clearly surjective. However, it is not an isomorphism as distinct elements in the product may become equal when summed together. $\square$

The following proposition is the base case of an induction on k.

Proposition 9.6. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_n(BG) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}"] \arrow[d, "\chi"'] & E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_p) \arrow[d, "\chi"] \\
Cl_n(G, C_0) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}^{\phi}"] & Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_p, C_0).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_n(BG) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}"] \arrow[d] & E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_p) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E_n(BG^p) \times (E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_p)/J) \arrow[d] \\
Cl_n(G, C_0) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}^{\phi}"] & Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_p, C_0) \arrow[r] & Cl_n(G^p, C_0) \times (Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_p, C_0)/J).
\end{tikzcd}$$

The right vertical arrow is just the product of the natural maps. First we show that the large square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_n(BG) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E_n(BG^p) \times (E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_p)/J) \arrow[d] \\
Cl_n(G, C_0) \arrow[r] & Cl_n(G^p, C_0) \times (Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_p, C_0)/J)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. It commutes for the right factor by Proposition 9.4.

The commutativity of the left factor is not completely formal. It is a result of [HKR00] that the image of $E_n(BG)$ is in the $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T}) \cong GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ invariants of $Cl_n(G, C_0)$, where the $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$-action is the action induced by Proposition 4.1. For $f \in Cl_n(G, C_0)$ it takes the form

$$f^{\phi_e}([\alpha]) = \phi_e^* f([\alpha \psi_e^*]),$$

where $\psi_e = \phi_e^{-1}$ since it factors the identity map:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{T} \arrow[r, "\phi_e"] \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{id}=q_e"'] & \mathbb{T} \\
& \mathbb{T}/e = \mathbb{T}. \arrow[u, "\psi_e"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Next note that the inclusion $G^p \hookrightarrow G \wr \Sigma_p$ induces the map on conjugacy classes sending

$$([\alpha_i])_{i=1\ldots p} \mapsto \bigoplus_{i=1\ldots p} ([\alpha_i]; e).$$

Thus the composite of the bottom arrows sends

$$f \mapsto \otimes_i f^{\phi_e}([\alpha_i]).$$

Since $\chi(x)$ is fixed by this action, the diagram commutes.

Finally, the right square commutes by naturality of the character map. Since the bottom right arrow is an injection by Proposition 9.5, it is a categorical fact that the left square must commute. □

Now we finish off the induction.

Proposition 9.7. The following diagram commmutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_n(BG) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}"] \arrow[d, "\chi"'] & E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_{p^k}) \arrow[d, "\chi"] \\
Cl_n(G, C_0) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}^{\phi}"] & Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_{p^k}, C_0).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_n(BG) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}"] \arrow[d] & E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_{p^k}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_{p^{k-1}}^{p}) \times (E_n(BG) \otimes_{E_n} E_n(\Sigma_{p^k})/I) \arrow[d] \\
Cl_n(G, C_0) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}^{\phi}"] & Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_{p^k}, C_0) \arrow[r] & Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_{p^{k-1}}^{p}, C_0) \times (Cl_n(G, C_0) \otimes_{C_0} Cl_n(\Sigma_{p^k}, C_0)/I).
\end{tikzcd}$$

The large square commutes because we know what the maps are to each factor. The map to the left factor is determined by induction and Corollary 7.2. The map to the right factor commutes by Proposition 9.4. The bottom right map is an injection by Proposition 9.5. Thus the left square must commute. □

Finally, we finish the proof of Theorem 9.1.

Proof of Theorem 9.1. Take the p-adic expansion of m to be $\sum_j a_j p^j$. Then the inclusion of groups

$$\prod_j \Sigma_{p^j}^{a_j} \longrightarrow \Sigma_m$$

induces a commutative square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_m) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E_n(\prod_j BG \wr \Sigma_{p^j}^{a_j}) \arrow[d] \\
Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_m, C_0) \arrow[r, hook] & Cl_n(\prod_j G \wr \Sigma_{p^j}^{a_j}, C_0)
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which the bottom arrow is an injection by Corollary 3.6. By Proposition 7.1(3), the composite of $\mathbb{P}_m(G)$ and the top arrow is the external product $\times_j \mathbb{P}_j(G)^{\times a_j}$. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_n(BG) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_m) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E_n(\prod_j BG \wr \Sigma_{p^j}^{a_j}) \arrow[d] \\
Cl_n(G, C_0) \arrow[r] & Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_m, C_0) \arrow[r, hook] & Cl_n(\prod_j G \wr \Sigma_{p^j}^{a_j}, C_0).
\end{tikzcd}$$

By Proposition 9.7, we know that the outer rectangle commutes and the right square commutes by naturality of the character map. This implies that the left square commutes. □

Example 9.8. In Proposition 3.6.1 of [And95], Ando constructs Adams operations for Morava E-theory. Reformulating his construction in terms of the total power operation for

E_n shows that the Adams operations are the composite

$$\psi^{p^k}\colon E_n(BG) \overset{P_{p^{kn}}/I}{\longrightarrow} E_n(BG) \otimes_{E_n} E_n(B\Sigma_{p^{kn}})/I \longrightarrow E_n(BG),$$

where the last map is induced by the map

$$E_n(B\Sigma_{p^{kn}})/I \longrightarrow E_n$$

picking out the subgroup $\mathbb{G}[p^k] \subset \mathbb{G}$. Example 6.6 computes the same composite on class functions. As a very special case of Theorem 9.1, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_n(BG) \arrow[r, "\psi^{p^k}"] \arrow[d, "\chi"'] & E_n(BG) \arrow[d, "\chi"] \\
Cl_n(G, C_0) \arrow[r, "\psi^{p^k}"] & Cl_n(G, C_0)
\end{tikzcd}$$

This gives a generalization of the well-known formula from representation theory that, for a representation ρ,

$$\chi(\psi^m(\rho))(g) = \chi(\rho)(g^m).$$

10. The rationalized total power operation

Recall that there is an action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$ on $Cl_n(G, C_0)$. It is Theorem C of [HKR00] that

$$p^{-1}E_n(BG) = Cl_n(G, C_0)^{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})}.$$

In this section we show that we can take the $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$-invariants of $\mathbb{P}^\phi$ for any section ϕ and that the result is independent of ϕ. The resulting "rationalized total power operation" is a global power functor.

Theorem 10.1. *For all finite groups G and any section $\phi \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Sub}(\mathbb{T}), \mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T}))$, there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_n(BG) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}"] \arrow[d] & E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_m) \arrow[d] \\
p^{-1}E_n(BG) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{P}^\phi"'] & p^{-1}E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_m).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. Theorem C of [HKR00] states that $Cl_n(G, C_0)^{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})} \cong p^{-1}E_n(BG)$ for any group G. By Theorem 9.1, it is thus enough to show that the multiplicative natural transformation

$$\mathbb{P}^\phi\colon Cl_n(G, C_0) \longrightarrow Cl_n(G \wr \Sigma_m, C_0)$$

restricts to the $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$ fixed points of both sides. To this end, recall from Proposition 3.9 that the action of $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$ on $\oplus_i(H_i, [\alpha_i]) \in \mathrm{Sum}_m(\mathbb{T}, G)$ is given by

$$\sigma\colon \oplus_i (H_i, [\alpha_i]) \mapsto \oplus_i(\sigma H_i, [\alpha_i \sigma^*_{|_{\mathbb{L}_{H_i}}}]), \tag{6}$$

with notation as in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{L}_{\sigma H_i} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\sigma^*_{|\mathbb{L}_{\sigma H_i}}"'] & \mathbb{L} \arrow[d, "\sigma^*"] & \\
\mathbb{L}_{H_i} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha_i"'] & \mathbb{L} \arrow[d] \arrow[dr] & \\
G \arrow[r] & G \wr \Sigma_{h_i} \arrow[r] & \Sigma_{h_i}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Fix an automorphism $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$ and an invariant element $f \in Cl_n(G)^{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})}$. Since ϕ_{H_i} and $\phi_{\sigma H_i}\sigma$ have the same kernel, there exists a unique isomorphism $\gamma_i \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$ making the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{T} \arrow[r, "\sigma"] \arrow[d, "\phi_{H_i}"'] & \mathbb{T} \arrow[d, "\phi_{\sigma H_i}"] \\
\mathbb{T} \arrow[r, "\cong", "\gamma_i"'] & \mathbb{T}
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute. Upon dualizing and using the identities $\phi_{H_i} = \psi_{H_i} \circ q_{H_i}$ and $\phi_{\sigma H_i} = \psi_{\sigma H_i} \circ q_{\sigma H_i}$, we see that the inner squares and triangles in the next diagram commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{L}_{H_i} \arrow[dr, "q^*_{H_i}"] & & & \mathbb{L}_{\sigma H_i} \arrow[lll, "\sigma^*_{|\mathbb{L}_{\sigma H_i}}"'] \arrow[dl, "q^*_{\sigma H_i}"'] \\
& \mathbb{L} & \mathbb{L} \arrow[l, "\sigma^*"'] & \\
& \mathbb{L} \arrow[u, "\phi^*_{H_i}"'] \arrow[uul, "\psi^*_{H_i}"] & \mathbb{L}. \arrow[l, "\gamma^*"] \arrow[u, "\phi^*_{\sigma H_i}"] \arrow[uur, "\psi^*_{\sigma H_i}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Therefore, the outer diagram commutes as well and hence gives

$$\sigma^*_{|\mathbb{L}_{\sigma H_i}} \psi^*_{\sigma H_i} = \psi^*_{H_i}\gamma^*. \tag{7}$$

Now we can check that $\mathbb{P}^{\phi}(f)$ is invariant under the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}^{\phi}(f)^{\sigma}(\oplus_i(H_i,[\alpha_i])) &= \sigma^*\prod_i \mathbb{P}^{\phi}(f)((\sigma H_i, [\alpha_i \sigma^*_{|\mathbb{L}_{\sigma H_i}}])) && \text{by (6)} \\
&= \prod_i \sigma^*\phi^*_{\sigma H_i} f([\alpha_i \sigma^*_{|\mathbb{L}_{\sigma H_i}} \psi^*_{\sigma H_i}]) \\
&= \prod_i \phi^*_{H_i}\gamma_i^* f([\alpha_i \psi^*_{H_i}\gamma_i^*]) && \text{by (7)} \\
&= \prod_i \phi^*_{H_i} f([\alpha_i \psi^*_{H_i}]) && \text{as } f \in Cl_n(G)^{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})} \\
&= \prod_i f^{\phi_{H_i}}([\alpha_i]) \\
&= \mathbb{P}^{\phi}(f)(\oplus_i(H_i,[\alpha_i])).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 10.2. The rationalized total power operation

$$\mathbb{P}^{\phi}\colon p^{-1}E_n(BG) \longrightarrow p^{-1}E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_m)$$

is independent of the chosen section ϕ.

First proof. As in the proof of Theorem 9.1, we can reduce to the case $m = p^k$. By naturality and Lemma 9.3, it furthermore suffices to prove this for abelian groups since $p^{-1}E_n(BG)$ embeds into the product of the rationalized E-theory of the abelian subgroups of G. We have two maps

$$p^{-1}E_n(BA) \longrightarrow p^{-1}E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J;$$

the first is the rationalization of $\mathbb{P}/J$, $p^{-1}\mathbb{P}/J$, and the second is $\mathbb{P}^{\phi}/J$ restricted to the $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$-fixed points. But $E_n(BA)$ is a finitely generated free E_n-module. We may choose a basis of $E_n(BA)$ and this gives a basis for $p^{-1}E_n(BA)$. By Theorem 10.1 both maps send the basis to the same elements of the codomain, thus the maps are the same.

We get the full result by induction. We use the embedding

$$p^{-1}E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k}) \hookrightarrow p^{-1}E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J \times p^{-1}E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^{k-1}}^{p})$$

and induct on k. The base case is clear and the induction follows by considering the large diagram and right diagram just as in Proposition 9.7. □

Second proof. We give a second proof of the corollary which is intrinsic to the construction of $\mathbb{P}^{\phi}$ and in particular does not rely on properties of Morava E-theory. To this end, consider two sections $\phi, \phi' \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Sub}(\mathbb{T}), \mathrm{Isog}(\mathbb{T}))$ with associated isomorphisms $\psi_H, \psi'_H\colon \mathbb{T}/H \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{T}$ for $H \subset \mathbb{T}$ as in Section 2. For a fixed $\oplus_i(H_i, [\alpha_i]) \in \mathrm{Sum}_m(\mathbb{T}, G)$ take $\gamma_{H_i} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$ to be the unique automorphism making the following diagram commute

(8)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{T} \arrow[r, "="] \arrow[d, "\phi'_{H_i}"'] & \mathbb{T} \arrow[d, "\phi_{H_i}"] \\
\mathbb{T} \arrow[r, "\cong", "\gamma_{H_i}"'] & \mathbb{T}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 10.1 with $\sigma = \mathrm{id}$, we see that, for any $f \in Cl_n(G)^{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}^{\phi}(f)(\oplus_i(H_i, [\alpha_i])) &= \prod_i \phi^*_{H_i} f([\alpha_i \psi^*_{H_i}]) \\
&= \prod_i (\phi'_{H_i})^* \gamma^*_{H_i} f([\alpha_i (\psi'_{H_i})^* \gamma^*_{H_i}]) && \text{by (8)} \\
&= \prod_i (\phi'_{H_i})^* f([\alpha_i (\psi'_{H_i})^*]) && \text{as } f \in Cl_n(G)^{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})} \\
&= \mathbb{P}^{\phi'}(f)(\oplus_i(H_i, [\alpha_i])),
\end{aligned}$$

hence $\mathbb{P}^{\phi} = \mathbb{P}^{\phi'}$ on $p^{-1}E_n(BG) \cong Cl_n(G)^{\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{T})}$. □

It follows that $p^{-1}(\mathbb{P}/J) = \mathbb{P}^{\phi}/J$ after restricting $\mathbb{P}^{\phi}$ to $p^{-1}E_n(BG)$. Therefore, the following definition makes sense.

Definition 10.3. We set $p^{-1}\mathbb{P}_m := \mathbb{P}^{\phi}_m\colon p^{-1}E_n(BG) \longrightarrow p^{-1}E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_m)$ (for any ϕ) and call this the rationalized total power operation.

Theorem 10.4. The rationalized total power operation is a global power functor.

Proof. We must show that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
p^{-1}E_n(BG) \arrow[r, "p^{-1}\mathbb{P}"] \arrow[d, "p^{-1}\mathbb{P}"'] & p^{-1}E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_{p^k}) \arrow[d, "\nabla"] \\
p^{-1}E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_{p^t}) \arrow[r, "p^{-1}\mathbb{P}"'] & p^{-1}E_n(BG \wr \Sigma_{p^t} \wr \Sigma_{p^l})
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes, where $t+l=k$ and ∇ is induced by the natural inclusion. First, by Lemma 9.3, it suffices to prove this for abelian groups. Let $L \subset p^{-1}E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^t} \wr \Sigma_{p^l})$ be the ideal generated by the image of the transfer along the maps

$$(\Sigma_i \times \Sigma_j) \wr \Sigma_{p^l} \longrightarrow \Sigma_{p^t} \wr \Sigma_{p^l},$$

where $i+j=p^t$ and the maps

$$\Sigma_{p^t} \wr (\Sigma_i \times \Sigma_j) \longrightarrow \Sigma_{p^t} \wr \Sigma_{p^l},$$

where $i+j=p^l$.[2] Now we will induct on k. When $k=1$ (the base case) the square must commute because either the left or the bottom arrow must be $p^{-1}\mathbb{P}_1 = id$.

For the induction, assume the diagram commutes for $k-1$. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
p^{-1}E_n(BA) \arrow[r, "p^{-1}\mathbb{P}"] \arrow[d, "p^{-1}\mathbb{P}"'] & p^{-1}E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\nabla"] & p^{-1}E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J \times p^{-1}E_n(BA \wr \Sigma^p_{p^{k-1}}) \arrow[d] \\
p^{-1}E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^t}) \arrow[r, "p^{-1}\mathbb{P}"'] & p^{-1}E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^t} \wr \Sigma_{p^l}) \arrow[r, hook] & p^{-1}E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^t} \wr \Sigma_{p^l})/L \times p^{-1}E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^t} \wr \Sigma^p_{p^{l-1}}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note that it is safe to assume that $l \geq 1$. The bottom right arrow is an injection, which is just a check on conjugacy classes. We need to show the outer rectangle commutes. We split this into the right factor and the left factor. The rectangle with the right factor commutes by induction. The rectangle with the left factor is a bit trickier. Here it is:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
p^{-1}E_n(BA) \arrow[r, "p^{-1}\mathbb{P}"] \arrow[d, "p^{-1}\mathbb{P}"'] & p^{-1}E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k}) \arrow[r] & p^{-1}E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J \arrow[d] \\
p^{-1}E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^t}) \arrow[r, "p^{-1}\mathbb{P}"'] & p^{-1}E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^t} \wr \Sigma_{p^l}) \arrow[r] & p^{-1}E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^t} \wr \Sigma_{p^l})/L.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{9}$$

First note that both ways of going around the rectangle give ring maps. There is an unrationalized rectangle in E-theory that maps down to (9) and does commute, drawn as the top face of the following diagram. Also, every side face of the resulting cube commutes, by Theorem 10.1 and by Theorem D in [HKR00] which explains how transfers interact with the character map.

---

[2] The ring $p^{-1}E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^t} \wr \Sigma_{p^l})/L$ has also been studied by Rezk and Behrens–Rezk, see [BR].

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& E_n(BA) \arrow[rr] \arrow[dl] \arrow[dd] & & E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J \arrow[dl] \arrow[dd] \\
E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^t}) \arrow[rr, crossing over] \arrow[dd] & & E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^t} \wr \Sigma_{p^l})/L & \\
& p^{-1}E_n(BA) \arrow[rr] \arrow[dl] & & p^{-1}E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^k})/J \arrow[dl] \\
p^{-1}E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^t}) \arrow[rr] & & p^{-1}E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^t} \wr \Sigma_{p^l})/L. \arrow[from=uu, crossing over] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let

$$p^{-1}E_n \longrightarrow p^{-1}E_n(BA)$$

be the algebra structure map. We wish to show that the different ways of composing this through (9) give the same $p^{-1}E_n$-algebra structure on $p^{-1}E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^t} \wr \Sigma_{p^l})/L$. Indeed, this is true, since it holds for the unrationalized rectangle and the fact that $p^{-1}E_n$ is just a localization of E_n. Because $E_n(BA)$ is free such that its generators give generators for $p^{-1}E_n(BA)$ and both ways of going around the rectangle (9) are $p^{-1}E_n$-module maps to the same $p^{-1}E_n$-module, the fact that the generators map to same elements in $p^{-1}E_n(BA \wr \Sigma_{p^t} \wr \Sigma_{p^l})/L$ implies the diagram commutes. □

Remark 10.5. It is easy to think that the proof above could be used to give a proof that $\mathbb{P}^{\phi}$ has a total power functor structure for all ϕ (contradicting Proposition 6.8). This is not the case because ring maps out of C_0 are *not* determined by ring maps out of E_n.

Remark 10.6. Just as in Corollary 10.2, it is also possible to give a completely computational proof of this theorem that does not rely on the existence of Morava E-theory. We leave this as an exercise to the reader. There is a sense in which the above proof uses something too strong, the existence of a global power functor structure on E_n. These computational proofs move in a more natural direction; they produce rich structures on subrings of class functions.

In particular, this generalizes a result of Ganter [Gan, Prop. 4.12] to arbitrary heights.

Corollary 10.7. The character map

$$\chi \colon E_n(B-) \longrightarrow p^{-1}E_n(B-)$$

is a map of global power functors.

Example 10.8. We give an example of a way in which these theorems may be used. In Section 8, we explain how work of Ando, Hopkins, and Strickland gives an algebro-geometric interpretation of the additive power operation

$$P_{p^k}/I \colon E_n \longrightarrow E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k})/I.$$

Let

$$L \subset E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k} \wr \Sigma_{p^h})$$

be the ideal generated by the image of the transfer along the maps

$$(\Sigma_i \times \Sigma_j) \wr \Sigma_{p^h} \longrightarrow \Sigma_{p^k} \wr \Sigma_{p^h},$$

where $i+j=p^k$ and along the maps

$$\Sigma_{p^k} \wr (\Sigma_i \times \Sigma_j) \longrightarrow \Sigma_{p^k} \wr \Sigma_{p^h},$$

where $i+j=p^h$. It is a folklore result of Rezk (now proved by Nelson in [Nel]) that

$$E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k} \wr \Sigma_{p^h})/L$$

is finitely generated and free as an E_n-module and corepresents flags of subgroups $H_0 \subset H_1 \subset \mathbb{G}$, where $|H_0| = p^k$ and $|H_1/H_0| = p^h$.

It is reasonable to wonder about algebro-geometric interpretations of

$$E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k})/I \xrightarrow{P_{p^h}/I} E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k} \wr \Sigma_{p^h})/L$$

and the composite

$$E_n \xrightarrow{P_{p^k}/I} E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k})/I \xrightarrow{P_{p^h}/I} E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k} \wr \Sigma_{p^h})/L.$$

There is a natural algebro-geometric map from flags of subgroups to subgroups given by

$$(H_0 \subset H_1 \subset \mathbb{G}) \mapsto (H_1/H_0 \subset \mathbb{G}/H_0)$$

and a map to $\mathrm{Spf}(E_n)$ given by

$$(H_0 \subset H_1 \subset \mathbb{G}) \mapsto \mathbb{G}/H_1.$$

The above theorem gives an easy way to see that the algebro-geometric maps and the power operations agree. For instance, for the composite of power operations, both maps inject into class functions and it is easy to check (using Theorem 10.4 that both maps make the following diagram commute

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_n \arrow[r, shift left] \arrow[r, shift right] \arrow[d] & E_n(B\Sigma_{p^k} \wr \Sigma_{p^h})/L \arrow[d] \\
C_0 \arrow[r] & Cl_n(B\Sigma_{p^k} \wr \Sigma_{p^h}, C_0)/L.
\end{tikzcd}$$

This implies that they are the same map.

References

[AA66] J. F. Adams and M. F. Atiyah, *K-theory and the Hopf invariant*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **17** (1966), 31–38. MR 0198460 (33 #6618)

[Ada78] J. F. Adams, *Maps between classifying spaces. II*, Invent. Math. **49** (1978), no. 1, 1–65. MR 511095 (80e:55032)

[AHS04] Matthew Ando, Michael J. Hopkins, and Neil P. Strickland, *The sigma orientation is an H_∞ map*, Amer. J. Math. **126** (2004), no. 2, 247–334. MR 2045503 (2005d:55009)

[And95] Matthew Ando, *Isogenies of formal group laws and power operations in the cohomology theories E_n*, Duke Math. J. **79** (1995), no. 2, 423–485. MR 1344767 (97a:55006)

[BMMS86] R. R. Bruner, J. P. May, J. E. McClure, and M. Steinberger, *H_∞ ring spectra and their applications*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1176, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. MR 836132 (88e:55001)

[BR] Mark Behrens and Charles Rezk, *The Bousfield–Kuhn functor and topological Andre-Quillen cohomology*, `http://math.mit.edu/~mbehrens/papers/BKTAQ4.pdf`.

[BS14] Tobias Barthel and Nathaniel Stapleton, *Centralizers in good groups are good*, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.3272`, 2014.

[CCO14] Ching-Li Chai, Brian Conrad, and Frans Oort, *Complex multiplication and lifting problems*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 195, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2014. MR 3137398

[DH04] Ethan S. Devinatz and Michael J. Hopkins, *Homotopy fixed point spectra for closed subgroups of the Morava stabilizer groups*, Topology **43** (2004), no. 1, 1–47. MR 2030586 (2004i:55012)

[Gan] Nora Ganter, *Global Mackey functors with operations and n-special lambda rings*, `math/1301.4616`.

[GH04] P. G. Goerss and M. J. Hopkins, *Moduli spaces of commutative ring spectra*, Structured ring spectra, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 315, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004, pp. 151–200. MR 2125040 (2006b:55010)

[HKR00] Michael J. Hopkins, Nicholas J. Kuhn, and Douglas C. Ravenel, *Generalized group characters and complex oriented cohomology theories.*, J. Am. Math. Soc. **13** (2000), no. 3, 553–594 (English).

[Nel] Peter Nelson, *On the Morava E-theory of wreath products of symmetric groups*, in preparation.

[Reza] Charles Rezk, *Power operations for Morava E-theory of height 2 at the prime 2*, `arXiv:math.AT/0812.1320`.

[Rezb] ———, *Power operations in Morava E-theory: structure and calculations*, `http://www.math.uiuc.edu/~rezk/power-ops-ht-2.pdf`.

[Rez98] ———, *Notes on the Hopkins-Miller theorem*, Homotopy theory via algebraic geometry and group representations (Evanston, IL, 1997), Contemp. Math., vol. 220, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998, pp. 313–366. MR 1642902 (2000i:55023)

[Rez06] ———, *The units of a ring spectrum and a logarithmic cohomology operation*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (2006), no. 4, 969–1014. MR 2219307 (2007h:55006)

[Rez09] ———, *The congruence criterion for power operations in Morava E-theory*, Homology, Homotopy Appl. **11** (2009), no. 2, 327–379. MR 2591924 (2011e:55021)

[Rog08] John Rognes, *Galois extensions of structured ring spectra. Stably dualizable groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **192** (2008), no. 898, viii+137. MR 2387923 (2009c:55007)

[SS14] Tomer Schlank and Nathaniel Stapleton, *A transchromatic proof of Strickland's theorem*, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1404.0717`, 2014.

[Sta] Nathaniel J. Stapleton, *An introduction to HKR character theory*, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1308.1414`.

[Sta13] Nathaniel Stapleton, *Transchromatic generalized character maps*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **13** (2013), no. 1, 171–203. MR 3031640

[Str97] Neil P. Strickland, *Finite subgroups of formal groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **121** (1997), no. 2, 161–208. MR MR1473889 (98k:14065)

[Str98] N. P. Strickland, *Morava E-theory of symmetric groups*, Topology **37** (1998), no. 4, 757–779. MR 1607736 (99e:55008)

[Zhu14] Yifei Zhu, *The power operation structure on Morava E-theory of height 2 at the prime 3*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **14** (2014), no. 2, 953–977. MR 3160608

Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Bonn, Germany
E-mail address: `tbarthel@mpim-bonn.mpg.de`

Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Bonn, Germany
E-mail address: `nstapleton@mpim-bonn.mpg.de`